INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
ARAKI, Sadao	28122
Direct by Mr. McManus	28122
NOON RECESS	28123
Direct (cont'd) by Mr. McManus	28124

INDEX

10

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def. No.	Pros.	Description	For In Ident.Evidence
2483	3158		Affidavit of H.G.W. Woodhead (with the exception of para- graphs 10 and 11)	28075
2239	3159		Affidavit of KASHIWA, Toku	28085
			MORNING RECESS	28097
2242	3160		Chart showing a break-do of the Indictment as concerns the Accused ARAKI, Sadao	wn 1t 28121
2488	3161		Affidavit of ARAKI, Sada	0 28124
			AFTERNOON RECESS	28174

Wednesday, 10 September 1947 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930. Appearances: For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE R. B. PAL, Member from India, not sitting from 0930 to 1600. For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before. (English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

G 1 2 2 n 3 b e 4 r g 5 & 6 R 7 r t

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: I understand from the chairman of the American defense counsel that arrangements
are likely to be made for the representation of the
accused, MIROTA, by American counsel and that, for
the time being, there is no need for the Court to take
any action.

Mr. Blakeney.

MR. BLAKENEY: When Court recessed yesterday,
I was offering in evidence, pro forma, the certificate of provenance of exhibit 2,714. The certificate,
together with the photostatic copy of the exhibit,
was prepared and was distributed to bench and bar
during the recess. I, therefore, make the offer only
in order that the certificate may appear in the record of proceedings.

And, lastly, I offer in evidence defense document No. 2,473, the affidavit of H. G. W. Wood-head.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, objection is made to the introduction of this affidavit on two grounds. The first ground is that there is no proper foundation for the introduction of this affi-

davit. The witness, Pu-Yi, was asked two questions relating to matters contained in a certain book written by the present affiant, and he admitted making both statements. Therefore, there is nothing to rebut '. that regard. Your Honor, the President of the Tribunal, very properly remarked at the time, "Of course, the attitude of the witness may make it It is simply this: unnecessary to call Woodhead. *If I said it, and I don't remember saying it, it was just propaganda. " The first question was asked at page 4,108 of the transcript, and the second question was asked at page 4,133 of the transcript. For those reasons I think it is improper to now present evidence showing statements were made that were admitted by the witness to have been made.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, the witness said, "If I said it, and I don't remember saying it, it was just propaganda." Is that an admission?

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, if all of that testimony is read I think it would be perfectly plain that it is an admission. It is certainly not a denial.

As to the second question, I refer to the question and answer as made which would remove any doubt, it would seem to me, on that point:

1 2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

Question by Mr. Blakeney: "Now, am I to understand that for motives of your own you did make to Mr. Woodhead substantially the statement I have put to you?"

Answer: "Yes. I was asked to say that by ITAGAKI. I had no other way."

THE PRESIDENT: This affidavit of Woodhead, if admitted, may show that ITAGAKI was not mentioned at the time to Woodhead, but what he said about ITAGAKI in the box was an afterthought and not mentioned to Woodhead.

MR. TAVENNER: That would seem not to go to the point as the only point involved is whether or not he made the statements that were presented in the course of cross-examination.

The second objection is that the witness is not available for cross-examination even if it were permissible to introduce this affidavit. In addition to all of that, the affidavit goes far beyond the two questions that were involved in the cross-examination.

MR. BLAKENEY: Before replying to the specific objections, I should like to mention that at the time the defense Russian evidence was being presented, I offered in evidence the book itself from which were taken the excerpts put to Pu-Yi in crossexamination. The objection which was then successfully raised by the prosecution was to the offering
in evidence of the book rather than the statement
directly from the witness. The suggestion was not
made then that the naterial in question did not serve
as impeachment of the testimony of the witness.

Now, to deal with the objections made by Mr. Tavenner, I think it plain, from what he quoted of the cross-examination of Pu-Yi, that the affidavit now under consideration is impeachment of the testimony of Pu-Yi in regard to whether he made the statements for, while it is true that Pu-Yi did testify that certain statements were made by him under compulsion and as propaganda, a reading of his entire testify discloses unequivocally, I think, that he never outright admitted nor denied the making of the statements attributed to him by Mr. Woodhead. Moreover, the affidavit of Mr. Woodhead now being presented serves to impeach the witness, Pu-Yi, in his statement that such remarks, if made, were made untruthfully or, I should say, insincerely because Mr. Woodhead's testimony shows clearly that he was carrying on confidential, personal conversations with Pu-Yi and that in his conversations with Pu-Yi he made

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

clearly apparent the moment at which he changed from confidential, personal discussion to discussion for the record or for quotation.

The affidavit, moreover, shows the type of personal relations existing between the two men, Woodhead and Pu-Yi, from which the Tribunal, I submit, may be able to draw its conclusion as to whether Pu-Yi was telling the truth when he said that, to such a man on such an occasion, he spread propaganda. That is, there must be some people to whom the most assiduous propagandist tells the truth; and I leave it to the Tribunal whether the relations appearing from this affidavit that existed between these two would not lead to the conclusion that this is such a man as would have heard the truth from Pu-Yi.

Now, the further objection is made that parts of this affidavit do not tend to impeach Pu-Yi in this matter; and it is quite true, I confess, that parts of the affidavit are merely affirmative evidence for the defense to show conditions prevailed ing in Manchuria and to help the Tribunal to draw its conclusion concerning them. Other parts of the affidavit, however, do tend to impeach the witness, Pu-Yi. What Mr. Woodhead saw in Manchuria may, in some regard, be impeachment of Pu-Yi's testimony.

The final objection made is that there is no opportunity for cross-examination of the witness. I do rather think that that question has been discussed until it is threadbare, but I must repeat that in this instance I applied for a subpoena for the witness, Woodhead; I was given, instead, an order that facilities be made available for the taking of his testimony. I took the testimony in the form of affidavit which the President of the Tribunal has, on more than one occasion, ruled to be a compliance with the order for facilities for taking testimony. If the prosecution desire to cross-examine Mr. Woodhead, they have at their disposal every facility for doing so, either corporeally or in the form of counter-affidavits. I cannot produce Mr. Woodhead, but I should do so only too gladly if I could.

THE PRESIDENT: You concede much of your affidavit is merely affirmative of the defense evidence; you are not questioning that part, Major Blakeney, are you? Could that be struck out?

Mr. BLAKENEY: I don't know why it should be, your Honor. We are now presenting defense evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Merely affirmative, I understood you to say, of other evidence.

(4

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-

MR. BLAKENEY: Affirmative, I meant, as distinguished from impeachment evidence. MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please --THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner. MR. TAVENNER: (Continuing) -- may I be heard further in the light of statements made by counsel in his argument which were not directly covered before? '21

Knapp & Yelden

THE PRESIDENT: Well, Mr. Tavenner, in any national court we are rather peremptory in dealing with objections but here the real question is always probative value and it requires some argument to come to a conclusion about that, but we would like the arguments to be as brief as possible.

MR. TAVENNER: There are just two matters that I desire to refer to. The first is this, that the Tribunal admonished counsel to this effect during the taking of the cross-examination: "I think my colleagues want you to put to him all the evidence that you propose to call."

Now, in response to that, two questions were asked and two questions were answered. We submit that it is not proper to in any way enlarge, in attempting to rebut testimony, by giving additional information not obtained, not appearing in those two questions, because the witness was not confronted with any other material and was not given an opportunity to explain anything else.

THE PRESIDENT: That is always a consideration, but nothing more.

MR. TAVENNER: The other matter is this: counsel has stated that his purpose is to impeach the testimony of Henry Pu-Yi. That is a collateral

matter and is not subject to impeachment in this manner.

THE PRESIDENT: Credibility is always a collateral matter except where bias or something of the sort is concerned. You can always prove bias.

Major Blakeney.

MR. BLAKENEY: I do not think that requires any answer, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Is this merely a matter of impuning the credibility of the witness, or does it go to the issue, or is it relevant to the issue? That is a new and serious point raised by Mr. Tayenner.

MR. BLAKENEY: I did not think it was new, because I had tried to make it clear that in my opinion this evidence is both evidence in impeachment and affirmative evidence in support of the defenses being presented. It is impeachment in that it contains not only the passages put to Pu-Yi in cross-examination but also in that it contains traverses or negations of other things testified to by him.

It constitutes affirmative evidence in support of the defense to the extent that it shows conditions as found by Woodhead in Manchuria; for example, in his statement of the conditions in which he found

the Emperor of Manchukuo living, and on a few other boints as well.

As to whether a witness can properly be impeached by proof of his prior inconsistent statements,
even if it is a collateral matter, I never knew it to
be objected to in any Tribunal.

I therefore submit that the objections are ill taken and the document is admissible.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objections are sustained in part and rejected in part. The document with the exception of paragraphs 10 and 11 is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 2483 will receive exhibit No. 3158.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3158 and received in evidence.)

MR. BLAKENEY: I read exhibit 3158, which, omitting the formal parts, is as follows:

"1. I have been acquainted with the former Ch'ing Emperor, sometimes known as Henry P'u-yi, for a great many years, and especially during the years that he resided in Tientsin I was a close friend, saw him often and was well acquainted with his personality and character.

"2. In September 1932 I made a visit to Manchuria to study the situation. After brief visits to Dairen and Mukden I proceeded to Changchun, the new capital. I had hardly reached the hotel there when a young Chinese Foreign Office official called to say that the Chief Executive, Mr. P'u-yi, had heard of my coming, and would be pleased to receive me at 10:30 next morning. This young man, Mr. Yu, who can converse equally readily in Chinese, English, Japanese and Russian, called for me in good time and escorted me to the former Emperor's residence. After a wait of a few minutes in the official reception room, a messag was received that the Chief Executive would see me in his private apartments, to which I was immediately conducted.

vious pleasur, and had scarcely invited me to be seated before he remarked that on one of the last occasions on which I had seen him, in October 1930, at a private lunch in Tientsin, I had remarked that perhaps next time we met he might not be so accessible; that I might have to invoke the assistance of a Foreign Office official. 'You see,' he observed, 'that your prophecy has been fulfilled.' After I had inquired after the Empress, and he had asked for

3 4

2 3

information regarding several of his former foreign friends in Tientsin, I told him that later I should like to put some formal questions to him for my newspaper articles, but asked whether first I might put some personal and unusually frank questions, as a friend. He answered that I might ask him anything that I liked.

"4. I then said that it would be of interest to many of his friends to know whether he was really happy in his present position. He replied with emphasis that he was.

"Was he busy?

"Not, he said, so busy at the moment as he was a few months ago. The administrative machinery was now better organized, and though he devoted a considerable portion of every day to state business, he was not too busy to find time to see his friends.

pression that prevailed in Shanghai and indeed throughout China was that he had been coerced into his present position, and that he was not a free agent.

"From this report he emphatically dissented.

He had, he maintained, been actuated by a double motive in accepting the office of Chief Executive.

First, on account of political reasons. When the

Manchu Dynasty abdicated it had been with the avowed intention of restoring the sovereignty to the people. Twenty years had elapsed since, but what had been the result? The political power had been and grasping militarists. There had been incessant civil war and disorder. The welfare of the people had been entirely disregarded. They had been tyrannized over and oppressed. China's relations with Foreign Powers had grown steadily worse. And the pledge made in the Abdication Treaty that absolute equality would be maintained between the five races of China had been flagrantly violated.

"Secondly, he was actuated by personal motives. Manchuria was his ancestral home. It was only
natural that he should feel greatly interested in what
was happening in this territory. Moreover, every
undertaking to the Manchu Dynasty contained in the
Abdication Agreement had been wantonly violated. The
allowance to be paid to him by the State had been
cancelled. His private property had been confiscated.
He had been treated with studied disrespect by the
Kuomintang. And the ancestral tombs had been violated, and no serious attempt made to secure the restoration of the treasures stolen from them.

"It was only natural, therefore, that when trouble occurred in Manchuria he should follow developments with great attention and wonder whether he was not destined to play some part in an attempt to improve the condition of his ancestral provinces.

Emmissaries of the separatist movement called upon him in Tientsin and urged him to proceed to Manchuria. And at last he felt that if he were ever to go he must go forthwith or he might find it impossible to leave.

"The possibility of a restoration movement, he said, was obviously known to and feared by General Chiang Kai-shek, who offered temporarily to restore the Conditions of Favourable Treatment if he (P'u-yi) would repudiate it. He had intimated, however, that material considerations would not influence him; that the Republican Government could best fulfill its responsibilities by concerning itself with the welfare of the people, by giving them good government, and by restoring internal peace. If it had Tls. 4,000,000 (the amount of the Emperor's annual pension, as stipulated in the Abdication Agreement) to spend, let it spend that sum on relieving existing poverty in China.

"6. When he had decided to leave Tientsin for Manchuria he did not even take the Japanese Consul-General into his confidence.

. 4

"Then the story that you were kidnapped and sent to Port Arthur under Japanese escort on a destroyer is not true?'

"Mr. P'u-yi, who understands English quite well, threw back his head and roared with laughter. 'Kidnapped?' he said, 'Kidnapped?' No, no.'

"I told him that that was the version of his departure from Tientsin that was widely current and generally believed, and asked whether I might be told the actual details of his movements, adding that what he had told me up till then was confidential, but that as a matter of historical interest I should like to know exactly what happened when he left Tientsin.

"I'r. P'u-yi replied that his movements had had to be kept secret for two reasons: first, because his departure from Tientsin might have been frustrated; secondly, because he would have been in considerable danger of assassination had his whereabouts been revealed.

"He left Tientsin just as the trouble between the Japanese and Chinese in that city started, and traveled direct to Yinkow (Newchwang) on the steamer Awachi Maru. He left a letter behind to be delivered to the Japanese Consul-General informing him of the departure, and asking him to afford adequate

protection to the Empress (who remained in his residence in the Japanese Concession) when she followed. From Newchwanghe proceeded to Tang Kung Tzu (the hot springs between Liaoyang and Tashihchao), where he remained only a couple of days, returning to meet his wife at Port Arthur. She also traveled on an ordinary steamer. The next few weeks were spent at that center, where he amused himself by studying the siege operations in the Russo-Japanese War and visiting the ruins of the former Russian fortifications. He and his wife then recurned to Tang Kung Tzu, where they lived quietly until he proceeded to Changchun. When he was convinced that it was his duty to go north and assume the office of Chief Executive, he went straight through to Changchun by train. At no time, in Tientsin, in the Leased Territory, or in Manchuria, was he ever under any restraint, nor was any coercion applied to him.

"7. The former Emperor emphasized that I had seen from my own experience how nonsensical the stories told about his position in Changchun were. Here we were, talking without restriction, with only a young Chinese present, who made no attempt to direct the course of conversation, and who only interpreted my remarks and questions when Mr. P'u-yi did not under-

24

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

3

4

stand them, with no Japanese within hearing, and absolutely no restriction upon the topics discussed.

Could I, he asked, really believe that he was virtually a state prisoner under such conditions?

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

24

25

"8. I asked his views on the government of Manchuokuo, and he at once replied that he favored the adoption of the Wang Tao doctrine, based upon the teachings of Confucius. 'Heaven,' he said, 'did not divide people into nations, but regarded them all as human beings and desired peace between them, and love. Competition and strife between nations only led to war and intense suffering. Confucius taught that governments should rule honestly, observe the golden rule toward each other, and work for humanity and peace. That crystallized the Oriental spirit. That was the spirit in which Manchoukuo had issued its declaration of independence. We are opposed to racial and national animosities. That is why we call this the Ta Tung (Great Equal) era. We should like to have the friendliest relations with Great Britain and all the other Powers. And we believe that we can contribute towards the realization of world peace. Our domestic policy will aim at making it possible to lead peaceful and happy lives. We shall have no political parties in the new State. They only make for disharmony.

We shall do our utmost to show ourselves trustworthy in our foreign relations. I hope that the people of B your country (Great Britain) will understand and 4 appreciate our attitude. We shall welcome the in-5 vestment of their capital in enterprises in Manchuokuo. 6 We shall be pleased to have them come and dwell among 7 us. For many years I had a British tutor (fir 8 Reginald Johnston), and I therefore acquired some know-9 ledge of British history and civilization and prin-10 ciples. Therefore I have a special interest in 11 fostering friendly relations between Great Britain and 12 Manchoukuo. Your Sovereign, King George, has already 13 been acquainted with my views.' "9. Mr. P'u-yi admitted the gravity of the 14 15 bandit situation, but said that though recently 16 aggravated by external influences, it was not a new problem and required all to give all the assistance in 17 their power to eliminate this evil. In this connec-18 tion, he paid a very warm tribute to General MUTO, the 19 Chief of the Japanese delegation to Manchuokuo. Very friendly personal relations had been established 21

Letween them as a result of General MUTO's recent visit

to Changchun to extend his Government's formal recogni-

General MUTO would help to smooth over past and present

tion to Manchoukuo. And he felt confident that

2.3

22

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Freeman. MR. FREEMAN: If the Tribunal pleases, I 2 have one witness to offer as to POW subdivision which has been requested by all the counsel and will take only probably three minutes. 5 I call the witness KASHIWA, Toku, whose 6 affidavit is defense document 2239. 7 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner. 8 MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, 9 prosecution will announce now that it waives cross-10 11 examination so as to save the time in calling the 12 witness. 13 MR. FREEMAN: I offer in evidence then 14 defense document 2239. 15 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 16 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2239 17 will receive exhibit No. 3159. 18 (Whereupon, the document above 19 referred to was marked defense exhibit 20 No. 3159 and received in evidence.) 21 MR. FREEMAN: I shall read into evidence 22 exhibit 3159, beginning with the second paragraph: 23 "I am KASHIWA Toku. I served in Jehol, 24 Manchoukuo from December 1940 to February 1942 as the

commander of the 9th Independent Guard Unit. The duty

of the unit was guarding the railroad and maintaining order; the area under its jurisdiction was a part of Jehol Province and a part of West Hsingan Province.

"At first I was under direct command of the commander in chief of the Kwantung Army; but from August 1941 I came under the command of the commander of the Kwantung Defense Army. Its strength was three Independent Guard infantry battalions and the number of men was a little less than 3,000. In Jehol Province there was, besides my guard unit, a military police corps, but no other Japanese troops were stationed there.

"The unit headquarters was in Chengteh; the headquarters of the battalions were in Chengteh, Chihfeng, and Kupehkow, and each carried out its functions within its area. Each battalion was divided into smaller units which were stationed at various places. In Pingchuan, there was a small unit of about 40 or 50 men led by a company commander.

"The Manchoukuo Army had a military district in Jehol Province and had its headquarters in Chengteh, and one brigade each was stationed in Chihfeng and Kupehkow.

"At that time order was well preserved except for two or three thousand soldiers of the 8th Route Army

who came and went around the Manchu-North China border ith North China as their base. In June 1941 a sweeping campaign against the 8th Route Army was staged are not the Sino-Manchoukucan border. At this time my unit was temporarily reinforced with two battalions from the Kwantung Army, with which battalions campaign of subjugation was carried out within North China and around the border in cooperation with the North China Garrison.

"As Jehol Province had opium plantations, the 8th Route Army came into the villages and sometimes did some harm to the natives in order to get opium for their military fund. In these cases the guard unit cleaned them up with the cooperation of the Manchoukuo Army and the provincial officials.

Pingchuan district and force was never used as it was un acessary. Even at the time of the cleaning up of the 8th Route Army in June 1941, was kept in normal condition. It is quite untrue that any massacre of natives happened around Pingchuan at about August 1941, and I have neither ever received any report of such an occurrence nor heard anything about it. Though it was specifically claimed that this massacre was performed by Japanese and Manchoukuoan troops, that is quite impossible

8 9

because it was my principle always to avoid having Japanese and Manchoukuoan troops at the same time in the same place and I always set the areas for troop movements for both armies and required them to act separately.

"On this 8th day of April, 1947."

That concludes, if the Tribunal please, the general phases of the defense except such matter as has been reserved to be presented later.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, in lieu of cross-examination on this affidavit the prosecution desires to refer to prosecution exhibit 360, page 4655 of the transcript.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: There are two other matters that I desire to call to the Tribunal's attention. The first is a correction of prosecution exhibit 14, at page 17,176 of the transcript. Our attention was called to the fact that one article of this document did not appear in the English translation although it was in the I desire now to present to the clerk page Japanese. three to be substituted in that document to make it complete.

THE PRESIDENT: In the absence of any objection

7

8

5

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

the substitution will be made.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, we find it necessary to reserve for a short time longer our decision about recalling the witness YAMAMOTO for further cross-examination.

Duda & Spratt

THE PRESIDENT: I might say here that the Tribunal has extended until the 17th of October the time for producing certain witnesses in which the Russian prosecution are interested.

MR. BLAKENEY: If that order has been made I should like specifically to repeat the reservation heretofore made of our right to produce additional evidence in the Russian phase after considering the cross-examination of the prosecution witnesses.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Chief of Counsel.

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President and Members of the International Military Tribunal for the Far East:

In view of the fact that the proceedings have now reached the stage where the defense is about to begin the presentation of the individual defenses of the accused, the present application filed by the accused OKA for the production of certain witnesses, as well as applications filed by other accused, presents a problem of the utmost importance which will have a grave bearing on the length of this proceeding. It is respectfully submitted that the application requires a ruling from the Tribunal delimiting the scope henceforth to be permitted the accused in offering evidence in "their individual phases."

In the presentation of its case, the

prosecution was faced with a twofold problem. On
the one hand, it had to establish that the conspiracies and substantive offenses alleged in the indictment were committed, and on the other hand it had to
show that these particular accused committed those
crimes. In the presentation of its evidence, with
the consent of the Tribunal, the prosecution divided
its case into phases for the purposes of organization
and clarity. During the presentation of the phases
and separately at the conclusion of the phases, the
prosecution offered evidence in support of the charges
against the individual accused.

the defense and from the method of proof used to date, it is clear that the accused rely upon a dual defense which is designed to meet both facets of the prosecution's problem. On the one hand they contend that there was no conspiracy and that no substantive offenses were committed; and on the other hand they contend that whether or not a conspiracy existed or substantive offenses were committed, the accused did not participate in them. To meet its dual burden, the defense decided in addition to offering evidence on behalf of the individual accused, to also present evidence in five phases. These phases were: the

the Russian phase, and the Pacific phase. During the presentation of the evidence on the five phases, the defense has addressed itself to the question of the existence of the conspiracies and the commission of the substantive offenses. They have offered evidence on behalf of all the defendants to meet every issue raised by the prosecution on this question. This can be clearly seen if we analyze the prosecution's phases in terms of the phases presented by the defense.

"Constitution and Laws of Japan" was countered by the evidence of the first section of the general phase of the defense. Section five of the defense general phase was introduced in answer to the prosecution phase entitled "Propaganda to Prepare Public Opinion for War." All the issues raised in the prosecution third phase, "Manchurian Aggression," were joined through the evidence introduced in the "Manchurian Division" offered by the defense. The third of the defense phases, "China," was offered to meet the prosecution's evidence in the phase called "Aggression in China." In both the Manchuria and China divisions the defense attempted to rebut the prosecution's evidence in its "Narcotic and Opium" and its "Economic

Aggression in China and Manchuria" phases. The prosecution's "Conspiracy with Germany and Italy" phase had its reply in the anti-comintern section of the defense "Russian" phase and the "Tripartite Pact" section of the Pacific phase. The entire fourth defense phase -- the Russian division -dealt with the evidence to rebut the prosecution's "Relation with the Soviet Union." Parts 3 and 4 of the general phase and all of the Pacific phase were devoted to materials to answer the phases of the prosecution's case entitled, "Relations with the United States and Great Britain" and "Relations with the Netherlands." The Pacific phase also replied to every issue raised by the prosecution phases, "Preparation for War, Economic, Military, and Naval," "Relations with France," and Class B and C crimes in the Philippines. Both the China phase and the Pacific phase introduced evidence on the subject of atrocities. In meeting these various phases of the prosecution's case, the defendants have had and have availed themselves of the opportunity of not only denying the prosecution's evidence on the existence of the conspiracies and substantive offenses but also of asserting the affirmative defenses of selfdefense and encirclement.

1

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

14 15

17

16

18 19

20

21

22

24

It is thus clear that already the accused have been given fair and full opportunity to present all the evidence they desired on the question of the existence of the conspiracies and substantive offenses. In doing this, since this Tribunal imposed no limit . as to time or number of witnesses in meeting the general issues, the defense have used more than one hundred court days, and have already presented more than twice the number of witnesses presented by the prosecution. They have had ample time to prepare when we consider that they had the period during which the prosecution was presenting its case and the more than ten weeks of recess granted to them for preparation purposes since the prosecution closed. It is, therefore, hardly to be expected that any serious thought would be given to the repetition of the evidence on these issues on behalf of any single accused. Yet, the present petition discloses the purpose of offering during the individual defenses more evidence by outside witnesses on the issue of the existence of the conspiracies and substantive offenses. Such procedure, if allowed, can only be repetitious of the evidence already covered on behalf of all defendants and lead to inordinate prolongation of the trial. It contains within it the inherent possibility of the same evidence

22

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

being repeated on issues already covered a maximum of twenty-five times which could serve no purpose other than making a mockery of these proceedings, injuring its dignity and value beyond recall. Obviously there must be imposed some limit based on reason and fairness.

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

We respectfully submit that here more than at any other stage of this proceeding we need a hard and fast ruling. We realize, of course, that this cannot exclude the occasional exercise of discretion where merited. The defense requires a hard and fast rule to avoid submission by it of a plethora of documents and material which could only be rejected on presentation to court at the expense of an enormous and prohibitive waste of this Tribunal's time. The language of the Charter, we submit, is abundantly clear. Article 12A and B provides "the Tribunal shall (a) confine the trial strictly to an expeditious hearing of the issues raised by the charges; and (b) take strict measure to prevent any action which would cause any unreasonable delay and rule out irrelevant issues and statements of any kind whatsoever." The aforementioned language employed passes on a mandate which we think applies particularly to the matter now presented.

. There are no precedents exactly applicable

and while is conceded that this Tribunal is not bound by the Nuremberg rulings and practice, the methods adopted by that Tribunal in a similar situation may be of some assistance. In that case the defense was not presented in phases, but each individual had his own defense. However, the Tribunal having found that the accused Goering was the one whose defense might most reasonably be expected to cover in general the defense applicable to all, required Goering to present his defense first. His testimony took twelve days. Thereafter the Tribunal permitted the other accused varying periods from two to five days each to present their defense, depending on the importance of the accused. No defendant, other than Goering, could present evidence on any matter but his personal participation in the alleged crimes. The role of Goering at that trial is in a sense analagous to the part played by the phases in this proceeding in denying the existence of the conspiracies and substantive offenses.

In view of the fact that the issue of the existence of the conspiracies and substantive offenses has been fully covered in the general phases with the exception of what the accused themselves may have to say on this issue, it is the firm conviction of the prosecution that during the individual phases, other

3

1

4 5

0

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

17

18

20

21

23

than what the accused themselves may have to say, if they desire, about the existence of the conspiracy or substantive offenses, no testimony is germane unless it goes to the issue of individual participation. It is therefore respectfully recommended and urged to this Tribunal that with the exception heretofore mentioned, it delimit the scope of the individual phases strictly to the presentation of evidence solely on the question of the individual participation of the accused and that the present application by the accused OKA and all other similar applications be denied.

It is further urged that whatever ruling be made in response to this application it be emphasized that both prosecution and defense will be held strictly to compliance therewith.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for fifteen minutes, and during the recess the defense will have an opportunity to consider their reply to the chief of counsel for the prosecution.

> (Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

25

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

&

L

efi1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. YAMAOKA.

MR. YAMAOKA: If the Tribunal please, with regard to the matters brought up by the learned chief prosecutor before recess, American counsel have had a very short meeting. In view of the fact that the new matter which has been raised by the learned chief prosecutor is of such grave importance to the entire defense, we should like leave of the Tribunal to reply in full tomorrow morning at 9:30. This will permit Japanese counsel to confer in full on this matter, and I do not believe that they have had that opportunity as yet.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I understand the accused ARAKI will give evidence now, is that correct?

MR. YAMAOKA: Yes, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: And his evidence will probably take up the greater part of the day.

MR. YAMAOKA: Yes, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Judging from the length of his affidavit, it will.

MR. YAMAOKA: I understand that --

THE PRESIDENT: Well, the Court will hear you at half past nine tomorrow morning, Mr. YAMAOKA.

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

MR. YAMAOKA: Thank you.

I understand that Mr. Roberts has one or two documents he desires to present.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: Document No. 1898, which was on my order of proof which I expected to present yesterday, was not completed in the Japanese translation.

However, I understand that the translation is now complete.

THE PRESIDENT: This is a document of over one hundred fifty pages. How much of it do you propose to read?

from these documents in order simply to give a clear picture of the events pictured in the documents themselves. I have checked the certain documents which I intend to read. There will be check marks appearing on the documents, and it is a small portion of the entire documents which are to be offered.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Chief of Counsel.

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, I have been informed that the defense had completed their general phase, evidence, and I ask the indulgence of the Tribunal for a moment. Mr. Tavenner, who is more familiar with this document, will state the position of the

1 2

. 3

prosecution if you could wait for just a moment.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

jection is made to the introduction of this document in evidence on the ground that the diplomatic negotiations between the United States and China regarding the extraterritorial rights of the United States certainly could not be material to any issue before this Tribunal.

The negotiations led up to a treaty which was not enacted or concluded. Even if such treaty had been concluded, it would be immaterial and irrelevant.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: A vitally important issue before the Tribunal concerns the actions of some of the
accused in China during the year 1931, and thereafter,
so that we must necessarily inquire into the conditions
prevalent in China at that time for the purposes of
providing some reasonable explanation for the action
taken by Japan.

Some proof has been adduced through Japanese sources (witnesses and documents) that the deplorable state of affairs prevailing in China were the result of conditions within that country, and not the result

4 5

of aggression by foreign interests. Evidence was further adduced that Japanese action was taken as the result of civil war, banditry, and communism in China, which endangered the lives and property of Japanese nationals. In view of the fact that this material was subject to possible doubt, because of the source, we now desire to bring before the Court certain official State papers from the Foreign Relations of the United States, which will show the following:

That the Chinese Government, in violation of long standing agreements relating to the Pacific, unilaterall, before the Mukden Incident, abolished all extraterritorial rights of Japan in China and announced its intention to retake the Kwantung leased territory, the Manchurian Railroad and railway zone and to continue its anti-Japanism and discrimination against Japanese nationals;

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

That in 1930 and previously the Chinese Government had adopted a stiff and intransigent position that
all extraterritorial rights of the United States, Great
Britain, France, Norway, and Japan would be extinguished,
and acting upon that premise the Chinese Government remodeled its domestic law to ignore all such extraterritorial rights;

That Japan, in common with the position of the

that as such rights could not be given up at one stroke and that all such rights should be withdrawn in exact proportion to the ability of the Chinese to organize a stable government and maintain law and order sufficient to protect the lives and property rights of the nationals in China:

United States and Great Britain, was in favor of the

gradual abolition of extraterritorial rights but felt

relating to the Pacific area, issued its Mandate in 1931, before the Mukden Incident, to take effect on January 1,1932, and by the terms of that Mandate all extraterritorial rights of Japan, the United States, Great Britain, France and Norway were to be disregarded as of January 1, 1932 and thereafter nationals of all such countries were to be subjected without limit to Chinese law and procedures; this Mandate was never rescinded but its execution was temporarily postponed

about January 1, 1932 because of sheer disorganization

and instability in the national Government of China and

the situation in Manchuria

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts, I hope you are not taking advantage of this to make a number of statements in the nature of evidence, really. It is remarkable that, if this document has the importance you suggest,

it should be put in at that stage after the case was closed, after the general phase was closed. I do not recollect any opening of any of the phases in which this document was foreshadowed, but my memory may not serve me well.

MR. ROBERTS: It was intended to include this in the reservation because the Japanese translation was not completed on this document until this morning.

· 18

In the general opening statement by Doctor KIYOSE this was foreshadowed as conditions in China as far as the action taken by Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: We were never in any doubt about that, but it is whether the scope includes a document of this nature. I am considering it.

MR. ROBERTS: It certainly is of extreme importance, and, as I said, being State papers of the United States Government it is something that will, of course, have the confidence of the Court because other matter, as I said, which was from Japanese sources may not have had such confidence.

In view of the objection I have one or two other points I would like to make concerning what these documents will show.

These state papers also reflect the disunity and instability within the Chinese Government, unconciliatory attitude with respect to all interest of foreigners within China and the extraordinary difficulty in dealing diplomatically with the Nationalist Government of China.

These papers also show beyond doubt that the willingness of the United States and Great Britain to surrender practically everything in the way of extrateritorial rights put Japan in a delicate position in

1 2

.

7 8

her dealings with China because of the larger nopulation of Japanese residents and greater property interests in China and Manchuria.

Here the Tribunal will see that the unilateral action taken by the Chinese reduced the Nine-Power Pact in its practical effect to a dead letter. Japan ignored this unilateral action on the part of the Chinese but was nevertheless willing at all times to enter into negotiations with the Chinese for gradual abolition of extraterritorial rights as heretofore stated. This document has an important bearing on the question of who was the aggressor in China and Manchuria, and it is significant to note that four other nations were likewise ready to fight China in 1931 for the preservation of the rights and interest of their nationals.

As I have said, because of the bulk, we have checked certain portions for reading and these, we believe, will give a good bird's eye view of the diplomatic situation viz-a-viz all foreign interests as of 1931.

THE PRESIDENT: This document isn't a late find, is it? You have had this material from the start.

MR. ROBERTS: However, we have had the difficulty, as I said, in translation and you will find that on my order of proof, I believe, dated September 2 pcssibly.

As a matter of fact, we sought the of the

prosecution in translating this and gave them part of the materials to translate for us.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, in what opening statement was it argued that Japan overran Manchuria to preserve the right of extraterritoriality?

MR. ROBERTS: In the opening statement made by Doctor KIYOSE. That was the material that was referred to.

THE PRESIDENT: We would like the prosecution to tell us whether they agree with Mr. Roberts that the matters that he claims to be in it are really in the document.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, I have not been able to read this entire document. In view of the circumstances under which the orders of proof were presented, I did not expect this document to be presented. I am advised that it does not contain the statements made by counsel in some instances, but I am not in a position to make a definite statement of my own on that subject.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is sustained and the document rejected.

Mr. McManus.

MR. McMANUS: Your Honor, I should like to read the opening statement in defense of the accused

8

5

U

7

8

>

10

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

22

23

24

ARAKI, Sadao.

THE PRESIDENT: A question is raised as to your right to open the evidence for the accused. There would be no question about it in a national court.

We would like you to refer us to the Charter, Mr. McManus.

MR. McMANUS: It is, if your Honor pleases,
Article 15(c), which states "The prosecution and each
accused (by counsel only, if represented) may make a
concise opening statement."

THE PRESIDENT: I suppose you don't intend to repeat matters that have already been opened in the other phases. You seem to be well within your rights.

MR. McMANUS: I assure the Tribunal I have no such intention.

Kapleau & Reichers

If it please the Tribunal, we would like to present counter-evidence in refutation of the charges and assertions of the prosecution against the accused ARAKI.

1. The charges of the prosecution against ARAKI are as follows:

Together with all the other accused: counts 1 to 17; 27 to 32; 34 and 44;

Together with some other accused: counts 18, 19, 23, 25, 26, 33, 35, 45, 46, 47; and 51 to 55.

The prosecution, however, points out only the following public cereer of ARAKI:

Minister of War (December 13, 1931 - January 23, 1934

Cabinet Councillor (October 15, 1937 - May 26, 1938)

Minister of Education (May 26, 1937 - August 30, 1939)

Chairman of National Spiritual Mobilization,

(March 28, 1939 - August 30, 1939)

Cabinet Councillor (December 1, 1939 - August 3, 1940.)

Such being the case, except for ARAKI's occupation of the above-mentioned positions, the charges
against ARAKI for the remaining period must be clearly
expressed; on the other hand, in this connection the
prosecution only vaguely enumerates counts, against

which, we therefore submit, no counter-evidence is necessary, but only a chart that will show at a glance ARAKI's non-involvement with such counts should suffice.

2. Evidence of his non-participation in conspiracy.

The prosecution asserted that ARAKI participated in conspiracy, propagated aggression and instigated young officers. We will show that ARAKI is not a chauvinist, nor a fascist, let alone an aggressionist, but a believer in KO DO (the Imperial Way), a genuine Nipponism. And the KO DO that he believed in is a moderate, unbiased course of service and, according to its Doctrine, a public road through heaven and earth, and an everlasting path for humanity which is infallible for all ages and true in all places. He will further prove his ideas are not so subversive, self-conceited and conservative as to threaten world peace; that he is a pacifist and a humanitarian; that in all his speeches, articles and actions he has been advocating this KO DO for world peace, and that these speeches, articles and actions have been definitely opposed to the instigation of propaganda and instruction for any aggressive war. As to HAKKO ICHIU, we can clearly prove in what sense he used the phrase.

We will prove that he taught the Army to act as the Imperial forces, which meant they should carry

3

1

2

6

8

9

11

12 13

14

16

18

19

21

22

23

out the Imperial virtue of benevolence, and that his motto in training the Army was: "Never be resented by the enemy in victory; be loved by the natives during your garrison."

From his experiences in World War I, he warned the world that warfare was deteriorating to a brutal combat; that even in an inevitable defensive war he condemned the use of poison gas or bacteria as a crime, and contended that the destructive power of weapons should be limited and that war damage upon women, children and other non-combatants should be avoided at all costs. We will further prove that he did not believe, from his view of KO DO, that impending political issues between Japan and such countries as China, the Soviet Union, Britain and the United States should be settled by war; that his past has been a series of struggles against radicalism; that Japan could not escape from the world-wide confusion around 1930; that Japan was suffering from unusual and devastating circumstances which occurred one after another, internally as well as externally; that he devoted himself to solving these incidents and succeeded in settling them all one by one. Fate, however, seems to have forbidden him any further efforts, for on January 1, 1934, after his settlement of the Manchurian Incident, he became serious-

23

24

1

3

ly ill, partly from his overwork, and he resigned from War Ministership. Because of his worry over the miserable destiny Japan was precipitately following, he was obliged to leave the political arena.

That, from his viewpoint of KO DO he opposed expansion of operations in the China Incident, as well as the Tripartite Pact, for fear lest it should lead to a world war.

All the above will be proved by documentary as well as oral evidence.

3. We will prove that it was because of ARAKI's efforts that the Manchurian Incident and the First Shanghai Incident were settled with the least possible damage, thus preventing them from developing into a wholesale disturbance of East Asia.

We will show that the Manchurian Incident had some factors which could have lead to a great international eruption; that the Incident had broken out three months before so that it could not be returned to status quo ante when ARAKI was appointed Minister of War and that in Manchuria the movement for independence had been brewing because of its historical background and had swayed the entire Manchurian population. This, however, has already been proven to some extent in the general phase. We will, however, show that the measures

taken by him since he became War Minister were neither aggressive nor indicative of occupation, but aimed at the protection of Japan's rights and interests and her residents, in accordance with international law and were the realization of a cabinet decision to terminate hostilities at the earliest possible date; that there were two measures to be taken to cease fire, that one was to pacify disturbances by bendits, and to repulse other challenging activities and to conclude a truce, and the other was to calm Japan's internal excitement and to make the nation reflect upon herself, and that ARAKI succeeded in realizing these two steps perfectly. Further, that ARAKI was always prudent in dealing with establishment and recognition of Manchukuo; that he fulfilled his duty, respecting the opinions of the Foreign Office authorities and, acting in accordance with the Government's principle, that he not only made efforts to cease hostilities, and to prevent them from expanding into general disturbances, but that he tried to propose, despite strong opposition, and even at the risk of his life, a Far Eastern peace conference with a view to establishing a foundation for peace in the East; that at his resignation on account of illness from overwork, he entrusted the Cabinet with his plan to secure peace. We will establish this fact.

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

8

We will further show that as to international relations, he always followed a principle of mutual cooperation; that he opposed a premature recognition of Manchukuo; that after the Shanghai Incident he effected evacuation of all the military personnel despite powerful opposition; that he was the last man to agree to withdraw from the League of Nations. When he learned this to be inevitable, he tried to establish a plan for world peace in cooperation with Great Britain and the United States; that in dealing with military affairs according to the Government's policies, he respected international treaties and never trespassed beyond their limits. We shall also establish this fact to the satisfaction of this Tribunal.

Further, that he respected the independence of Manchukuo and wished for her, as in her declaration, to be an ideal state as an oasis of stability in East Asia, and that he had no such wild fancy as to consider Manchukuo as a puppet state.

4. We will establish the fact that ARAKI had no connection with the Army since 1936.

We will also prove that while ARAKI was Minister of War, the May 15th Incident broke out, in which not even one young army officer participated; that the February 26th Incident, however, was an illegal action

undertaken by young officers to the discredit of
the Imperial Army, reverence for which ARAKI had always advocated; that ARAKI was much concerned about it,
and that though quiet reigned for a time, after
ARAKI's resignation of the post, they disliked ARAKI's
sound and moderate ideas, and at the time of the Incident they refused to see him when Minister of War
KAWASHIMA asked them to do so.

We will show that ARAKI and five other generals were obliged to leave actual service, assuming moral responsibility for the confusion caused by the incident, as it was the proper and expected thing to do because it should have been incumbent upon any superior officare of the Army to have known and prevented it in its inception, even though they were unaware of such activities; that as soon as they retired from active service, a system was enforced that a Minister of War should be appointed from the active list, which was aimed particularly at these six generals, to deprive them from becoming a War Minister at any time in the future.

Witness TANAKA, Ryukichi introduced to the court the so-called KO DO group. We will prove that there existed no such party, that such a nomination was not made, nor asserted by ARAKI or his friends, but that someone or another began to call those who

4 5

were in sympathy with KO DO'sm, such as ARAKI, the KO DO group. We will further show that those who rejected such KO DO spiritualism as being too unwieldy, and considered above everything else control or an all-mobilization campaign system like that of Germany in World War I, were called the Control group. We will establish the fact that almost all of the so-called KO DO group were expelled from the Army on the pretext of liquidation after the February 26th Incident, though they had no connection with it.

We will show that the prosecution has mistaken General ARAKI as a leader of the military clique. We will prove that the world-wide fame of General ARAKI was won fifteen years ago by his meritorious service when he had settled perfectly the Manchurian Incident preventing it from affecting all East Asia, and we will explain by evidence the reason why he himself, who had been so famous, was soon expelled from the Army and never even once organized a cabinet.

5. We will establish the fact that though Prince KONOYE asked ARAKI to settle the China Incident, ARAKI could not do so in his capacity of Minister of Civil Affairs.

We will show that Prince KONOYE recognized the sincere and earnest desire for peace in General ARAKI,

and others, who were called the KO DO group, when the Prince made every effort to have them try to help settle the China Incident, as they had done with the Manchurian Incident.

We will prove that cabinet councillorship was a system created by Prince KONOYE with the object of settling the Incident with the help of such prudent men as General ARAKI, even in his retirement, and others, and that he made ARAKI, together with Messrs. UGAKI and IKEDA enter his cabinet after the above-mentioned renovation, as they would be useless to him outside the cabinet.

However, we will further show that after his renovation of the cabinet Prince KONOYE hurriedly organized a system of The Five Minister Conference (Premier, War, Navy, Foreign, and Finance Ministers) which practically nullified his previous plan as Minister of Education. Therefore ARAKI had no opportunity whatsoever in this capacity to make any contributions to settling the Incident, even though he was a cabinet member.

We will show also that there was no truth to the fact that Education Minister ARAKI strengthened military training at schools, as he was opposed to expansion of the Incident; that he opposed banishment of some Jewish professors, and that he made an address to American NISEIs (when requested for his advice) to the effect that if there should be any war between Japan and America it was their duty as good citizens to be

loyal and faithful to the U.S., and that if it afflic-

2 3

6

8

10

12

14

15.

17 18

19.

20

22

24

any such war. We will establish the fact that he opposed elimination of English from school curriculums, as it meant isolated self-complacency; that he contended that Japan's cultural agreement should be reached not only with Germany but with the whole world; further, that for the first time it was Baron ARAKI who provided for Christianity in the religions association law, and that in this way his deeds were always in opposition to an aggressive war.

We will show by evidence that the Nomonhan and the Chang Ku Feng Incidents were border issues that broke out at that time, and that as he was Minister of Education he had no connection with them; that ARAKI had deep sympathy with and understanding of the Russian people, but that he was anxious to prevent Bolshevisation by the Third Internationale, and that notwithstanding he did not make any preparations to promote aggressive action against the Soviet Union.

We will clearly show that the chairmanship of the National Spiritual Mobilization Committee was only a natural concurrent position of a Minister of Education; that it was a type of spiritual elevation movement, and that the prosecution has mistaken it for

the chairmanship of a deliberation council under the National Mobilization law, which was fundamentally different from the former.

6. We will prove that after his retirement from public life ARAKI had no connection with the current problems.

We will show that realizing a Minister of civil affairs was quite futile in solving the incident, he retired from any administrative office with his resignation from the HIRANUMA Cabinet.

Ship in the ABE and the YONAI Cabinets was accepted in consideration of his friendship with both Premiers, in view of their earnest requests; that a cabinet councillor system had become purely nominal by that time, and that the Prosecution has confounded the Cabinet councillor system (established on October 15, 1937) with the cabinet advisory council system (organized in March, 1943); that these offices were created for different objectives, and that the cabinet councillor, no one having been accused on account of his holding this post, was an honorary post, having merely the function privately to state his opinions to the Premier without any official responsibility.

We will prove that at the formation of the

4 5

f1

YONAI Cabinet Premier YONAI asked ARAKI to be Home Minister to cooperate with him in settling the incident, but that he refused the offer on the ground that he was not sufficiently confident in view of the general trend of the time, and that thus he was not in touch with political activities.

We will show by conclusive evidence that at the formation of the Second KONOYE Cabinet, Premier KONOYE sent the Chief Cabinet Secretary to ARAKI, asking him to be a Cabinet Councillor, that when ARAKI refused it the Premier himself visited him at his residence, that though they hotly discussed the matter for about five hours, ARAKI did not accept even that post, as he was strongly opposed to the Imperial Rule Assistance Association and the Tripartite Pact, and that from this time their public intercourse was suspended for all time thereafter.

We will present evidence in covering conspiracy, the Manchurian Incident, the China Incident, and ARAKI's activities after his retirement, dealing with the above-mentioned six items in complete and conclusive refutation and denial of all the charges in the Indictment.

At this time, if the Tribunal pleases, I would like to proffer a chart showing a break-down

of the Indictment as it concerns the accused ARAKI. It shows clearly every important incident since 1928 and the position held by Baron ARAKI at such times. It further shows the cabinets under which these incidents occurred and other pertinent dates concerning the accused ARAKI's association or non-association with them. I am merely offering this chart for the benefit of the Tribunal and the prosecution, so that it may be seen at a glance ARAKI's status at the time of each incident above mentioned and at the time of each count in the Indictment. I am not tendering it into evidence, but as stated before, merely submitting it for the benefit of the Tribunel if this court should at any time care to refer to same. I should like to have it marked for identification, if the Court please. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 2242

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 2242 will receive exhibit No. 3160 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit 3160 for identification.)

MR. McMANUS: At this time I should like to call the accused ARAKI.

24

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

	SADAC	ARAKI, an accused, called as a witnes
	in h	nis own behalf, being first duly sworn, testi-
	fied	through Japanese interpreters as follows:
		DIRECT EXAMINATION
1	BY MR. Mc	MANUS:
	0	Will you state your full name, please?
	A	ARAKI, Sadao.
		MR. McMANUS: May the witness be shown defense
	document	2488?
		(Whereupon, a document was handed to the
	with	ness.)
	C	General, is that your affidavit?
	A	Yes.
	Q	Does your signature appear at the end of the
	effidavi	t?
	A	Yes.
	. Q	Are the facts therein contained true and
	correct?	
4	A	Yes.
		MR McMANUS: At this time I tender document
	2488 and	request that it be received into evidence.
		THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.
		MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal,
	the pros	ecution does not feel that it can object to
	this doc	ument, but it calls attention to the fact that

4 5

7

8

9

10

11

13

15 16

17

19

21

23 24

25

it occupies 46 pages in which statements of fact are inextricably woven with argument end with references to what it is alleged that other witnesses or documents are going to prove. We respectfully suggest to the Tribunal that this should not be taken as a precedent for the affidavits of other witnesses.

THE PRESIDENT: Witnesses not being accused. What we allow one accused we must allow all, but we will not allow any witness to indulge in an argument.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, with respect, I intended my observation to apply to other accused. The difficulty of taking formal objection to this is the difficulty of extricating that which is proper in an affidavit from that which is not; but I was going to suggest to my learned friend that he should consider in the reading omitting a number of passages which are obviously open to the criticism I have leveled at it.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. McManus.

MR. McMANUS: May I proceed, your Honor?
THE PRESIDENT: Well, it is nearly twelve.
We will adjourn now until half-past one.

(Whereupon, at 1155, an adjournment was taken until 1330.)

Greenberg & Barton

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

S A D A O A R A K I, an accused, called as a witness on his own behalf, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 2488 will receive exhibit No. 3161.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3161 and received in evidence.)

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. McManus.

MR. McMANUS: At this time I should like to

read exhibit No. 3161, if the Tribunal please.
"I, ARAKI, Sadao, make oath and say as fol-

"1. Circumstances up to my acceptance of the post of War Minister:

"I served as the Chief of No. 1 Division of the General Staff from January 1928 to August 1928;

10

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

11

14

16

17

18

20

22

lows:

President of the Staff College until August 1929; the Commander of the 6th Division in Kumamoto until August 1931, and Chief of the General Affairs Bureau of the Department of Military Education.

"While I was in the service of those posts,
I recognized from my experiences in World War 1 and
from my views on the morality of war, as well as from
the basic principle in founding our army, the necessity of disassociating our army from the old Prussian
style army, and so I devoted my whole hearted attention to the education and training of troops with
the final object of promoting their moral standard.

"The general situation in those days was by no means eventless. On the contrary, there was every sign of potential unrest. However, my view on the current problems was always different from the opinions of the leaders of Japan, including those of militarists, and I always remained unbiased to any of the movements which were opposed to each other.

"It was on August 15, 1931 that I arrived in Tokyo to accept the post of the Director of the General Affairs Bureau of the Department of Military Education. This was an adivsory position to the Inspector-General of the Department of Military Education.

"The outbreak of the Manchurian Incident was known to me from the newspaper. I was told that the government had set up a non-expansion policy, and so I did not think much of this incident.

"On the occasion of the October Incident,
I happened to have been asked by War Minister MINAMI
an. Chief of General Staff KANAYA to subdue the t
trouble. I successfully discharged this task, but
was informed nothing further as to the punishment
of the people involved in this incident.

"At that time, I was merely the Chairman of the committee of the entrance examination of the Military Preparatory School and the Military Academy, a position which was to be occupied by the Chief of the General Affairs Bureau of the Department of Military Education as was regulated in that Department, and which had nothing to do with the current problems.

"2. Circumstances around my acceptance of the post of War Minister.

"At the end of 1931, I was the senior member of the Vice-Minister class, and because of this, I was asked on December 13th by Mr. Tsuyoshi INUKAI to become War Minister. As was customary with the army at that time, I reported this matter to and

requested direction of the Three Chiefs of Army (War Minister, Chief of the General Staff, and Inspector-General of the Department of Military Education). The opinion of the Three Chiefs was unanimous and they instructed me to accept the post. Thus I sent in my acceptance to Mr. INUKAI and became War Minister when the INUKAI Cabinet was formally organized on the same day. Mr. INUKAI at this Tribunal testified that my acceptance was made under some extraordinary circumstances, but not only was there any uncustomary procedure in connection with my acceptance, but neither my predecessor nor any person of importance at that time informed me of such

"On the following day of my acceptance of this new post and the subsequent day, War Minister MINAMI, my predecessor, Vice-Minister SUGIYAMA and Chief of the General Staff KANAYA gave me the explanation of the state of affairs of Japan at that time, the outline of which was as follows:

That since the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident, both the government and the army authorities strived to settle the trouble under a non-expansion policy, but that the condition in Manchuria which had been aggravated for many years in

24

25

3 4

1

2

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15 circumstances.

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

requested direction of the Three Chiefs of Army
(War Minister, Chief of the General Staff, and Inspector-General of the Department of Military Education). The opinion of the Three Chiefs was unanimous and they instructed me to accept the post.

Thus I sent in my acceptance to Mr. INUKAI and became War Minister when the INUKAI Cabinet was formally organized on the same day. Mr. INUKAI at this Tribunal testified that my acceptance was made under some extraordinary circumstances, but not only was there any uncustomary procedure in connection with my acceptance, but neither my predecessor nor any person of importance at that time informed me of such circumstances.

"On the following day of my acceptance of this new post and the subsequent day, War Minister MINAMI, my predecessor, Vice-Minister SUGIYAMA and Chief of the General Staff KANAYA gave me the explanation of the state of affairs of Japan at that time, the outline of which was as follows:

"(a) That since the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident, both the government and the army authorities strived to settle the trouble under a non-expansion policy, but that the condition in Manchuria which had been aggravated for many years in

the past, was so complicated that both the Japanese civilian inhabitants in Manchuria and the Kwantung Army were still in danger; that the government of the existing Manchurian regime had lost its grip, some of its influential personnel had absconded while the rest of them had established their own strong-holds in various parts of Manchuria, and an absolute state of anarchy was revealed throughout Manchuria.

"(b) That the army authorities, in view of their primary duty, was dually engaged to cope with this situation -- protection of the Japanese nationals and their rights and interests in Manchuria was one, and self-defense to insure the security of the Kwantung Army was the other; that the condition, as had been made clear by the second declaration of the WAKATSUKI Cabinet, was so aggravated that it was impracticable to return the Japanese troops to their original stations.

"(c) That Chang Hsueh-liang, who had established in Chinchow District a stronghold for
violation of peace and order in Manchuria, did not
keep his promise of evacuating all the troops under
his command from the Chinchow District, and that
there was not the slightest indication of sincerity
to show that some day he might fulfill this promise.

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

"(d) That the fact that the Japanese force, from a desire for peaceful settlement, had returned its troops from half way point of its campaign to Chinchow was utilized by Chang Hsueh-liang for his propaganda. He was busy propagandizing that he and his troops won the victory in this campaign and, by thus instigating the fighting spirit of the troops, caused them to cross the Liao hiver to appear and overrun the districts as far as near Mukden; that this very much endangered Japanese nationals and the troops at the foremost front.

"(a) That while the League of Nations had not appreciated the actual situation in full, the recent councillors meeting decided to despatch a commission of enquiry and that it approved our rightful assertion of reserving our rights of pacifying bandits and other groups of turbulent elements.

"The above were the outlines of the actual state of affairs explained to me by those three important people of the army, and their conclusion was that if the situation was left alone, and if it should cause any damage to the Japanese nationals or should the Kwantung Army suffer any serious damage from it, the hostility would expand all over China and would result in serious international relations.

24

3

5

8

10

11

14

15

13

16

17

19

21

24 25

23

"3. Determination of government policy.

"When I reported these matters to Premier

INUKAI, he, in his capacity as the president of a

political party, and being aware of the graveness

of Japan's internal and external situations more

fully than I, told me his opinion as follows:

"(a) Self-defense and non-expansion should be the fundamental policy to cope with the situation, and based on this policy, the restoration of law and order and termination of hostilities in Manchuria should be immediately realized.

"(b) It should be borne in mind that Chang Hsueh-liang, the violator of law and order, was the man to deal with, and as such, the theatre of action must be extremely restricted, and on no occasion should it exceed the territory under his domination.

"(c) Military action may be required to save the imminent danger to the Chinchow District, but even in doing so, a request should be first made for the withdrawal of the troops under Chang's command from that district so that the root of future evil will be eliminated.

"(d) To the League of Nations and other countries which were related to Manchuria by treaties, a thorough explanation should be made in order

to gain their complete understanding as to the real state of affairs of Manchuria.

"These opinions of the Premier were discussed at the Cabinet meeting and were made the basic policy of the INUKAI Cabinet. In accordance with this decision, I made the necessary contact with the ministers of Finance and Navy to make preparation for the art Office to discharge its sphere of duty. This decision was also conveyed by me to the General Staff so as to request them to act accordingly.

"In connection with this decision of the Cabinet, there is an allegation in exhibit No. 187 and No. 188 to the effect that I made a plan for the occupation of the Four Eastern Provinces. This is a mistake caused by the interpretation of an incompetent interpreter and it was entirely different from the fact. I shall refer to this matter at the latter part of this statement under 28.

"I have never heard, not even as a rumor, that the Premier INUKAI had the intention of petitioning for an Imperial Command to withdraw the Kwantung Army, ws was testified to by Mr. Takashi INUKAI before the Tribunal. Premier INUKAI, as clever as he was, should have known quite well that the Emperor, who was an ardent observer of the Constitution, would

4 5

not have granted an Imperial Command for the withdrawal of troops without first having the advice of the General Staff. I shall refute this allegation by a witness who will testify to the matters concerning the Supreme Command.

"There is also an allegation by the prosecution in Chapter 1 of appendix A of the Indictment that after the INUKAI Cabinet was formed -- 'The Japanese government which came into power on the 13th of December of 1931, and all subsequent Japanese governments adopted and continued this aggression and its gradual extention over other parts of China.' That both the INUKAI and SAITO Cabinets, while I was a member of them, had never made any such policy shall be fully shown by the several speeches made by the responsible members of the two Cabinets at public occasions, and several witnesses and documentary evidence which are to be submitted before the Tribunal will corroborate this.

"4. Pacification of Liao-si (West of the Liao River) District.

"The Japanese Government, in conformity with the abovementioned policy, expected a peaceful settlement of the troubles in the Chinchow District through diplomatic negotiations, but almost a month had vain-

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

23

ly passed since the commencement of the negotiation and there was not a sign of their withdrawing from the district. On the contrary, the activities of the bandits along the River Liao coast were more intensified and at the end of December 1931, the aggravated situation reached such a stage that the Japanese Government had to resort to arms to wipe out the stronghold of the bandits and the lawless mobs in order to save the Japanese nationals from danger. I communicated this decision of the government to the General Staff. In the meantime, the government made a proclamation on the 27th to clarify the situation and explained to the world the difficulties that Japan was confronted with.

15

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

23 24

"On the 28th of the same month the General Staff despatched to Manchuria from Korea a divisional headquarters and a brigade, the main body of which began action at the very end of the month.

"The Kwantung Army by this time, in view of the daily occurrence of various casualities, had taken several measures to cope with the situation and had several times requested without response the withdrawal of Chang Hsueh-liang and his men from the Liao-si District.

"However, the bandit troops upon learning that Japan had decided to take a decisive measure fled from the Chinchow District, together with the groups under Chang Hsueh-liang's command. Thus, a unit of Japanese troops under command of Lieutenant General MURO made its entry into the city of Chinchow on January 3rd, 1932, without resorting to bloodshed and the Japanese nationals were relieved.

"After this campaign the army undertook the task of maintaining law and order in that locality, leaving the rest of the activities to the diplomatic authorities. However, during the month of January the troops of the garrison forces suffered several casualties inflicted by bandits in various parts of the locality, including the annihilation of KOGA Regi-

にか

to

ment at Chin-si, but the troops on the spot, observing the principle of non-expansion policy, endured it and did not take any counter steps.

"5. The First Shanghai Incident.

"The cause of expedition and the policy of the Army.

"The First Shanghai Incident was initiated when, in the middle of July 1932 a body of Chinese civilians assaulted a party of Japanese priests and either killed or wounded them. This incident induced a clash between the Japanese Navy and the Chinese 19 Route Army, and a great number of Japanese nationals in Shanghai as well as the navy itself were very much endangered.

"The Navy authorities, in view of discharging their international duty in Shanghai as well as to save their own navy troops and protect Japanese Nationals in that city, reported the case to the government and requested a detachment of army troops to Shanghai. The government knew the imminence of the situation and decided upon a policy of relieving the navy and protecting the Japanese nationals on the spot, and requested the army for a detachment of troops.

"I consulted on this matter the Chief of the General Staff and we agreed to conform with the govern-

 ment policy by despatching a minimum force. The Chief of the General Staff reported the matter to the Throne and upon the approval of His Majesty, the expedition was finally decided upon.

"By this time the situation in Shanghai had become so critical that a mixed brigade was first despatched, in accordance with the request of the navy, by a destroyer, and this brigade was on peace time footing on account of the shortage of time.

Then the UEDA Division, also in a state of being immobilized, followed the brigade. The strength of the opponent at that time was said to be about 50,000.

"In conformity with the policy of the government, I strived to settle this incident, as much as possible, in an amicable manner, and desired the Chief of General Affairs and Divisional Commander UEDA to follow this policy. This resulted in Divisional Commander UEDA's advice to the opponent of the peaceful settlement, which will be shown in evidence in defense document to be followed later. This attempt at peaceful settlement by Divisional Commander UEDA was not duly responded to, and on the contrary, it drove him into a considerable plight.

"This request for peace and subsequent hesitation on the part of Divisional Commander UEDA, in

immediately resorting to action, was interpreted and propagandized by the Chinese side as their complete victory over Japanese forces, and the propaganda induced a situation whereby the Chinese force under the direct control of the Nanking Government joined the Carton 19th Route Army with whom the expeditionary force was confronted. Moreover, this new situation affected the situation in Manchuria and caused further aggravation of law and order there. Even the proposal made by the League of Nations to Japan served to enhance the spirit of the Chinese people. The situation became extremely serious and the safety of more than 20,000 Japanese nationals was menaced. Thus, China's side took advantage of our aspiration for an amicable settlement and created a new situation more dangerous to Japan.

"Worried with this added difficulty the government requested the Army to immediately save this situation. It was either on the 7th or 8th of February that the advance party of the Army expeditionary force landed on the spot. Divisional Commander UEDA arrived there in the middle of February and this new crisis was created at the end of the same month.

"On account of this renewed request from the government, my position required me to devise measures

2

3

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

to immediately save the situation by means of close co-operation between operational tactics and diplomacy, that is to say, the measures complying with the operational request of the General Staff on one hand and conforming with the political principle of the government on the other.

"On this matter I consulted the General Staff and agreed to their appointing Colonel Binshiro
OBATA (Lieut. General OBATA, who became Minister of
State in the Prince KUNI Cabinet immediately after
the surrender) the Chief of operations Section of the
General Staff. We also agreed to recommend General
SHIRAKAWA as the Supreme Commander of the Expeditionary
Force as the most appropriate person with sufficient
faculty who would maintain close co-operation with the
local diplomatic agent to take timely measures in terminating the hostilities. Then in accordance with the
suggestion of the General Staff, further re-inforcement of two divisions was decided upon at the Cabinet
meeting.

"Various preparations were made for this reinforcement and at dawn of March 1st the advance division of the expeditionary force made surprise landing
at Tzi-liao-kou, a strtegic point behind the enemy.
The enemy after some insignificant resistance re-

7 8

treated beyond the 20 hilometer line and as the retreat was in conformity with the request that the expeditionary had previously made, Supreme Commander SHIRAKAWA immediately ordered cessation of hostility on the 3rd of March. The Chinese Army followed suit on the following day.

"Thus, due to the ingenious tactics of the operational force, the primary object of the expeditionary was achieved and the incident was settled while the main body of the re-inforcements was still on board ship.

"The Government and the central military authorities, hand in hand with the activities of the diplomatic authorities, endeavored to settle the whole situation upon guarantee of the Chinese side to observe law and order in the future.

"The Japanese troops voluntarily withdrew at the end of March to the rear line and one and one half divisions of the expeditionary force were subsequently returned to Japan. Then, through the valuable efforts of the committees of the U.S.A., Britian, France, Italy, Japan and China, a plan for international security and safety in and around Shanghai was set up and a truce agreement between Japanese and Chinese troops was signed on May 5th.

agreement (Section 3, Appendix 2), the army had the right of stationing there a certain part of its force. However, from fear that it would turn out to be the cause of future trouble and because of respect for Chinese sovereignty, and, furthermore, as the primary object of the expedition had been accomplished, it was decided to withdraw, at the risk of various difficulties, all the troops from China and the withdrawal was completed by the end of May.

mate that time there were opinions among the people, however, in and out of office, that the overall withdrawal was still premature. The opinions were regarded to be well grounded in view of past experiences, because both in Manchuria and at the early stages of the Shanghai Incident, our moderate artitude in the beginning seemed to have given cause to the eromy to make propaganda that they had won the victory, and that it succeeded to some extent in deceiving the people with a result that the over-all situation was made worse on account of this.

"Nevertheless, the army, in view of its aspirations for peace, carried out the over-all withdrawal.

Unfortunately, while giving a good impression among a part of the learned and well-informed classes of Chinese

people, the over-all withdrawal did nothing more than to spur the Chinese general public and to create amongst them a contempt for the Japanese Army. In fact, it had a harmful effect over the situation in Manchuria and gave rise to further disturbances there. I may point out here that this was the most delicate part of the policy toward China, and both the government and the army authorities had many difficulties on this particular point.

K p & Y eld

1

2

3

4

6

7

0

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

"These circumstances will be fully shown by the government declaration, proclamations made by Divisional Commander UEDA and Supreme Commander SHIRAKAWA, my several speeches made in the capacity of War Minister concerning the withdrawal of troops and also those made in the Diet sessions, all of which will be tendered in evidence.

"6. Protection of Japanese nationals in and around Harbin.

"Hsi Hsia (a member of the Monarchist Party) who became the Governor of Kirin Province on September 30, 1931, subsequently declared the independence of his Province. However, after two months of this declaration, he caused some discord with Ting-Chao and Li-Tu of Harbin, and because of this conflict, the general situation in and around Harbin was thrown into confusion, threatening, at the same time, the safety of Japanese nationals residing there.

"The danger became more imminent when Hsi Hsia, in January, 1932, determined to conduct his subjugation campaign toward the north and started fighting on the 27th. This urgent situation compelled the Japanese nationals to request the Kwantung Army for their rescue, and the Koreans and Manchurians of the same district also frequently made the same

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

request.

Japanese were murdered, several Koreans were taken away, and about 4,000 Japanese and 2,000 Koreans were placed under extreme danger. The Kwantung Army ordered an aerial reconnaissance of the district, but the aircraft had to make an emergency landing near Harbin, and the crew, who were commissioned officers of the Kwantung Army, were murdered.

"The government had taken a cautious attitude toward this district, but as the situation became so serious the government considered it necessary to take measures to restore law and order in that district and to protect the Japanese residents.

"However, while the Japanese residents were to be protected, the government made it a policy that the international relations, especially the relations with the Soviet Union, should not be endangered. The Chief of General Staff, in conformity with this policy, ordered some restrictions to the Kwantung Army as to its military actions.

"The restrictions caused much difficulty to the Kwantung Army in its operation, and a corps of the army finally succeeded, after considerable hardships, in reaching the outskirts of the City of Harbin. request.

"In the meantime, it happened that four Japanese were murdered, several Koreans were taken away, and about 4,000 Japanese and 2,000 Koreans were placed under extreme danger. The Kwantung Army ordered an aerial reconnaissance of the district, but the aircraft had to make an emergency landing near Harbin, and the crew, who were commissioned officers of the Kwantung Army, were murdered.

"The government had taken a cautious attitude toward this district, but as the situation became so serious the government considered it necessary to take measures to restore law and order in that district and to protect the Japanese residents.

"However, while the Japanese residents were to be protected, the government made it a policy that the international relations, especially the relations with the Soviet Union, should not be endangered. The Chief of General Staff, in conformity with this policy, ordered some restrictions to the Kwantung Army as to its military actions.

"The restrictions caused much difficulty to the Kwantung Army in its operation, and a corps of the army finally succeeded, after considerable hardships, in reaching the outskirts of the City of Harbin.

3

5

6

7 8

9

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

23

25

"As the object of this campaign was to protect the Japanese nationals in that district, and as the object was thus accomplished, the main body of the expeditionary force retraced its course without even entering the City of Harbin.

"The explanatory speeches made by Fereign
Minister YOSHIZAWA on January 31, 1932, at the plenary
session of the Privy Council and the 62nd session of
the Imperial Diet, the minutes of which will be
tendered in evidence, will clarify the circumstances
in which this campaign was carried out.

"7. Independence declaration of Manchukuo and its recognition; also the attitude of the Japanese central military authorities toward it.

"Soon after the restoration of law and order in Chinchow, I think it was in the beginning of January, 1932, Staff Officer ITAGAKI of the Kwantung Army came to Tokyo and reported to me the situation in Manchuria, the picture of the independence movement and Commander in Chief HONJO's view of the general situation.

"According to the reports I received, each
Province of Manchuria had declared its independence
and it was in such a precarious condition that a single
false step would lead the whole situation into a state

of chaos where each local regime held its own sphere of influence. On the other hand, there was an aspiration rapidly developing among the influential people all over Manchuria to found a new state. In fact, this aspiration was getting so irresistibly strong among them that it was almost impossible for the Kwantung Army, which was neither forcing a military administration nor was it provided with sufficient strength to maintain law and order without seriously taking this new situation into consideration. In connection with this report, I further learned the following facts: That the unanimous opinion of those who were concerned in this independent movement was to have Mr. Pu-Yi as the ruler of the new state; that Commander in Chief HONJO's opinion was to leave the matter to them and not to take any measure which might interfere with the zealous aspiration of the Manchurian people.

"On hearing this report, I thought of the necessity of paying attention to the international problems which might arise out of Manchuria's independence declaration. However, I reported this information to the Premier.

"The Premier had known by that time what was going on in Manchuria and was of the opinion that the

.

19

18

13

15

16

21

22

23 24

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of chaos where each local regime held its own sphere of influence. On the other hand, there was an aspiration rapidly developing among the influential people all over Manchuria to found a new state. In fact, this aspiration was getting so irresistibly strong among them that it was almost impossible for the Kwantung Army, which was neither forcing a military administration nor was it provided with sufficient strength to maintain law and order without seriously taking this new situation into consideration. In connection with this report, I further learned the following facts: That the unanimous opinion of those who were concerned in this independent movement was to have Mr. Pu-Yi as the ruler of the new state; that Commander in Chief HONJO's opinion was to leave the matter to them and not to take any measure which might interfere with the zealous aspiration of the Manchurian people.

"On hearing this report, I thought of the necessity of paying attention to the international problems which might arise out of Manchuria's independence declaration. However, I reported this information to the Premier.

"The Premier had known by that time what was going on in Manchuria and was of the opinion that the

question of independence should be left alone, only he considered that the international problems as mentioned in the above should be studied.

"The decision of the government on this problem was also to leave it alone to the Manchurian people and to make no interference with it inasmuch as the primary concern of the government was in preservation of law and order.

"In the meantime the independence movement in Manchuria made further progress and then a decision for independence was reached on February 28th among the influential people of Manchuria with an additional resolution to ask Mr. Pu-Yi to become its ruler. Then, the independence was declared on March 1st, and Mr. Pu-Yi became its President on the 9th of the same month.

"The Kwantung Army, whose primary duty was to secure the peace and order in Manchuria, wished that the newly born regime would respect, as it had declared, the international treaties and external regulations, and would base its administration, internally, upon the people's will, so that an ideal nation wherein the King's Way is fully observed under the harmonious collaboration of five races, a real happy land, free from all the unfortunate incidents in the

2

5

6

8

10

11

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

DIRECT

past, should be realized. The attitude of the Kwantung Army was that of watching its development, but not to make any interference with it.

"However, preservation of peace and order and protection of Japanese nationals, which were the main duties of Kwantung Army, had to be conducted in such a way as would correspond to the ever-changing situation, and so the Kwantung Army had discussions with the new regime concerning these local matters exclusively.

"These reports from the Kwantung Army to the central military authorities were reported to the government by the latter as soon as they were received. The government, in view of the actual state of affairs in Manchuria, reached the conclusion that there was no alternative but to leave to the discretion and judgment of the Commander in Chief of the Kwantung Army the preservation of peace and order in Manchuria and decided to observe the further development of the situation.

"I also followed this policy of the government and carefully watched the situation so that I
should not make any mistake in the future when countermeasures were to be taken.

"The Foreign Office viewed this problem to be

a case of a domestic split of a nation and that the independence was not an infringement of international law. Under the circumstances, all that the army could do was to follow the policy of the government and devote its efforts in successfully carrying out its original duty of preserving peace and order and securing the national defense of Manchuria.

"In the meantime, the new regime gradually consolidated its foundation and so the government, with a view to avoiding further disturbances in Manchuria, decided to cooperate, whenever possible, with the new regime. The government made explanation of this policy at the 61st session of the Imperial Diet.

"I have never heard that Premier INUKAI dispatched Mr. KAYANO as a special envoy to the Nanking Government for a friendly understanding, as was testified to by Mr. Takeshi INUKAI. Even if it were true, it must have been of a private nature. Mr. INUKAI's further testimony that Premier INUKAI talked over the matter with a chief of a section of the General staff and that the chief of the section, because of this talk, was relegated to another post is entirely wrong. Mr. INUKAI did not mention the name of the colonel, but from his testimony that the colonel

7 8

was relegated to the Commander of the Ranan Regiment and also from the testimony given by witness FUJITA, it is clear that he meant Colonel SHIGETO. Colonel SHIGETO was sent out of the central military authorities, together with other people, because of his having had some relation with the March and October Incidents, and because of this, he was made an object of the army's 'purging shift' of military personnel.

Witness FUJITA also gave evidence to this effect.

"I had several interviews with the Premier to discuss the Manchurian problems, but never had we any friction of opinion between us. I always dealt with the matters in accordance with the fixed policies of the government, and whenever a new problem arose, I fully discussed it with the Premier and followed his decision about it.

"With regard to Premier INUKAI's attitude toward the international problems, I never perceived in him any sign of an aggressive attitude. Not only Premier INUKAI alone, but the Kwantung Army was eagerly wishing for the ideal progress of Manchukuo and that was all that they desired for this new country. This fact has already been clarified before this Tribunal by the will of late General HONJO and other evidence.

2 3

"PART II.

"Next I shall refer to the question of officia" recognition of the state of Manchukuo.

Primarily this question, which was purely an international diplomatic matter, was under the charge of the Foreign Office; accordingly, the Army did not take any step in this matter and except for those problems which concerned the maintenance of peace and order and problems of national defense that might arise therefrom, I respected the opinion of the Foreign Minister and left everything to his care.

"Manchukuo, since its foundation, had gradually become a subject of discussion both in and out of Japan, and the House of Representatives, on June 15th, made a resolution at its plenary session that an official recognition should be given to this new state.

"The government of Japan, after careful consideration of the matter, decided to follow the views of the Foreign Office, which suggested that the new state was the result of an internal split of an independent nation and that recognition of such state which acquired its legal independence would not in any way infringe international law. Necessary procedures were then taken and the formal recognition was given on September 15th, whereupon the Japan-Manchukuo

4 5

Protocol was signed and an arrangement was made for the exchange of Ambassadors.

"Upon this formal recognition, the Kwantung Army was charged with the new duty of garrison and joint defense of the new state. This new additional duty meant that the entire conduct of the Kwantung Army bore an international complexion by representing the two independent countries, Japan and Manchukuo, and so they made it their rule to confer with the Manchukuo authorities before they took any action in connection with the national defense and maintenance of peace and order.

"Thus the situation made its natural development from its formation to formal recognition, and
along with this development, Japan found it necessary
to determine her attitude, toward this state of
affairs. The government of Japan, from her desire for
the sound development of Manchukuo as her friendly
neighbor, decided to give Manchukuo, in compliance
with her request, all the necessary assistance in her
power, and by doing so, to take measures to prevent
activities causing disturbances in Manchukuo.

"That Japan had neither the intention of making Manchukuo her cat's paw, nor violating international law can be easily ascertained from the

speeches made by the Premier and the Foreign Minister at the Imperial Diet as well as from their answers to the interpellations at the Privy Council. This will also be seen in the speech broadcasted by Mr. Ting, Premier of Manchukuo, on the first anniversary of Manchukuo's foundation, in which he expressed his zealous ideal of founding a new state.

"Personally I desired that Manchukuo should develop soundly along the line as was indicated in her independence declaration toward the goal of becoming an ideal nation, and would acquire every necessary qualification for an independent country.

"In the spring of 1934, when Mr. Henry Pu-Yi,
Emperor of Manchukuo, visited Japan, I was given an
audience with him for several hours. Mr. Pu-Yi
eagerly and strongly emphasized his desire of establishing a happy land of ODO (King's Way) and at a
later stage of the conversation, he sent the interpreter away and talked to me face to face by way of
writing on a sheet of paper, his ambition of becoming
the Emperor of all China, thereby restoring his ancestral
old Chin Dynasty there.

"On this occasion I dared to give him advice and said that what the Emperor should do was to cultivate the virtue of Emperorship, as he had declared when

fidence both in and out of the country.

 "As this conversation will show, there was not the slightest indication that Mr. Henry Pu-Yi would have become a tool of the Japanese government;

on the contrary, there was even a sign that he would take the initiative to induce the Japanese government

he ascended the Throne, and to become worthy of con-

in the direction he desired.

"The development of Manchukuo after that was not quite as it should have been. Dissatisfied with this state, I refrained from attending the 10th anniversary celebration of its foundation, and I broadcast what I had in mind about the situation. My views and belief on Manchuria had been fully expressed in my talk with Lord Lytton and others.

"As was explained in my speech at the 62d session of the Imperial Diet, Chang Hsueh-liang's activities, before the formal recognition of Manchukuo, of causing disturbances in Manchuria were carried out to such an extent that it compelled Japan to take measures to settle the situation.

"10. Pacification of North Manchuria, Kholombail and Jehol.

"The pacification campaign of North Manchuria, Kholombail and Jehol was somewhat different in nature,

he ascended the Throne, and to become worthy of confidence both in and out of the country.

"As this conversation will show, there was not the slightest indication that Mr. Henry Pu-Yi would have become a tool of the Japanese government; on the contrary, there was even a sign that he would take the initiative to induce the Japanese government in the direction he desired.

"The development of Manchukuo after that was not quite as it should have been. Dissatisfied with this state, I refrained from attending the 10th anniversary celebration of its foundation, and I broadcast what I had in mind about the situation. My views and belief on Manchuria had been fully expressed in my talk with Lord Lytton and others.

"As was explained in my speech at the 62d session of the Imperial Diet, Chang Hsueh-liang's activities, before the formal recognition of Manchukuo, of causing disturbances in Manchuria were carried out to such an extent that it compelled Japan to take measures to settle the situation.

"10. Pacification of North Manchuria, Kholombail and Jehol.

"The pacification campaign of North Manchuria, Kholombail and Jehol was somewhat different in nature, 1 2 3

7 8

inasmuch as Manchukuo had been founded and recognized by that time, and the military action was taken to discharge the army's duty to both Japan and Manchukuo. In other words, the campaign was an action fulfilling the army's duty as was provided in the Japan-Manchukuo Protocol, and it was nothing but a domestic affair of Manchukuo.

"Pacification campaign by the Japan-Manchukuo Allied Force over North Manchuria was executed because Ma Seng-shan who had once pledged his loyalty to Manchukuo plotted a rebellion of Su Ping-wen in December 1932 and Jehol at the end of February 1933 because of a rebellion by Tan Wang-lin.

"In carrying out those campaigns, I drew the pecial attention of the General Staff to follow the government policy so that the expedition to Kholombail would not cause any bad influence over Soviet-Japan relations and warfare in Jehol would not expand itself over North Manchuria. I further requested them that their action should be based strictly on the Japan-Manchukuo Protocol and utmost attention be made in bringing about the termination of hostilities. I also requested the maintenance of a very close contact between the War Office and the General Staff.

"In Kholombail district, we were assisted

greatly by the good will of the Soviet Union and succeeded in safely saving the Japanese residents, and the pacification was ended at that.

"In the Jehol campaign, the General Staff and the Kwantung Army made it their policy to stop the advance of troops at the line of the Great Wall, even at the risk of operational disadvantage. There was once an occasion when the troops marched over the Great Wall, but they were immediately ordered to return. This action caused another attack from the enemy, but our troops in a drive after the retreating enemy reached the Soo River and stopped there. Thus the policy of the government and the central army authorities was strictly adhered to by the troops of the Kwantung Army.

"In the meantime, Chang Hsueh-liang actually withdrew from all official positions and an agreement was reached and the Tangku Truce was signed between Ho Ying-chin, representative of the National Government, and Major General OKAMURA, Vice-Chief of Staff and the representative of the Kwantung Army. Further details of this will be given by witnesses ENDO, SABURO and TAKEDA, Hisashi.

"11. Conclusion of the Tangku Truce Agreement.
"In compliance with the request of Mr. Ho

4 5

7 8

Ying-chin, Deputy Chairman of the Peking Subcommittee of the National Government Military Committee, on May 25, 1933, cessation of hostility was discussed between Mr. Ho and Major General OKANURA, representative of the Kwantung Army, and the Truce Agreement was signed on the 31st of May of the same year. The agreement was confirmed by the governments of Japan and Manchukuo in due course, and thus the hostilities in Manchuria virtually ended.

"The Manchurian Incident was not primarily a war in the sense of definition of international law. Therefore, there was no such procedure as a peace treaty. Only an agreement was reached between the two parties as to several arrangements to prevent occurrence of further hostilities in the future.

"Subsequently, the members of the government of Manchukuo and those of China met at the Dairen conference to discuss practical routine matters concerning the maintenance of friendly relations between China and Manchukuo. Some members of our government who were in charge of such matters also attended the conference, but as the matter did not directly concern the relations between Manchukuo and Japan, I do not remember the details.

"Thus the disturbances and hostilities which

had been rampantly prevailing all over Manchuria at the time of the WAKATSUKI cabinet which had created a potential danger, such as would induce at any time an all-out clash between China and Japan, were completely settled by me within one and half year of my acceptance of War Ministership to the INUKAI cabinet which succeeded the WAKATSUKI cabinet, and the mission charged to the army of terminating hostilities was fulfilled.

"12. Policies I adopted after the Tangku Agreement.

"My most important mission as War Minister which was settling the hostilities having been thus accomplished, I decided to take this opportunity to establish plans to stabilize several internal as well as external affairs. I set up the following three main principles and determined upon their realization.

"1. Stabilization of domestic state of affairs which had been in absolute chaos since the beginning of the Showa Era.

"2: Complete purification of the army so as to base itself on the principle of the foundation of the Imperial Army.

"3. Improvement of foreign relations, through which to secure peace of the world, and of the Far East

in particular.

"In June 1933 when the Imperial Diet closed, I set forth to establish a practical plan to execute these principles.

"The first thing I intended to do was to purify the public mind by dispelling from Japan all the evil causes accumulated since World War I, paying at the same time special attention to the internal as well as external state of affairs and to the specific feature of Japan's national character. The best way to accomplish this task was to let the people appreciate the virtue of benevolence of His Majesty, the Emperor. One of the practical plans for this purpose was to petition for the grant of a general amnesty and to release both the right and left wing political criminals and other criminals with the exception of those whose crime was of particularly atrocious nature, and to give them firm warning not to repeat the same folly.

"Secondly, I intended to stabilize the mind of people of the rural district and fishing villages by establishing means of relieving them from the extreme poverty in which they were at that time.

"Thirdly, I thought it necessary to devise fundamental measures to settle the confusions and

7 8

disturbances in political and ideological circles.

"My fourth intention was to find means to secure the original character of the Imperial Army whose reason for existence was in practicing morals, and let it thoroughly understand the fundamental principles of founding the army, so that the occurrence of ominous incidents, which had been rather frequent in the past, would be prevented in the future.

"Fifthly, Japan at that time was confronted with several difficult international problems. I considered it of urgent necessity to solve all of those problems by making the utmost concession that we could afford, while making full assertions on what we had to assert. What Japan needed then was to determine the minimum extent of her self-existence and protect her from being affected by the hitherto precarious state of affairs in Europe.

"Under these circumstances, what should have been done before anything else was to secure peace in the Far East, and in order to do so, I determined to hold an international conference among the countries interested in Far Eastern affairs. My intention was first to establish peace in the Far East and on the Pacific after thorough deliberation upon pending matters among the participants of this international conference,

Q

and then make it the cornerstone on which to secure world peace.

"The matter was, however, too grave to be decided instantaneously. I spent the whole of July and August in studying practicability of this plan as well as in preparation of preliminary matters. In September I prepared a basic suggestion for this plan, and suggested to the Premier to make a definite plan based on my suggestions, so that it may be presented for deliberation at the Imperial Diet.

"Petitioning for amnesty seemed to me the most difficult problem. On this question, I gained the approval of the Navy Minister, and the suggestion was put to the Premier as an agreed opinion of both Navy and Army.

"There were several objections to the amnesty
to be granted to the criminals of the extreme right and
extreme left wings. I maintained that however wrong
they may have been, their misconduct had arisen from
their passion to improve the future of their country
and community.

"It was the unfortunate circumstances in which they were brought up or their narrow prejudice that had driven them to blindly rush to such an extreme ideal. They were nonetheless valuable subjects of His Majesty to whom his virtue of benevolence should equally be extended. Iinsisted that this was the characteristic feature of our national polity, and endeavored to realize it.

"The question having developed thus far, Premier SAITO ordered the people of the government in charge of this type of work to study the practical side of this plan, and, apart from this, he successively held conferences among the ministers who were related to the subject matter of the plan. Five Minister Conferences concerning foreign affairs and national defense were often held and other Five Minister Conferences concerning rural district problems being that they were a part of domestic political problems were also frequently held. I attended these conferences together with Mr. MITSUCHI, Minister of Railways.

"Both of these conferences met more than twenty times and the gist of the plan was thoroughly discussed by the end of the year. There were several matters of which even definite plans were set up.

"The basic study of the plan showed due

progress and a communique in the form of a memorandum was given on matters concerning foreign affairs and national defense in October 1933. Some very important decisions were reached concerning rural district problems which were part of the problems for the domestic council.

"My intention was to establish definite plans for all the subject matters by the end of January 1934, when the Imperial Diet was expected to be convened, and to present them to the Diet for deliberation. The government, army and Diet, should seek for the stabilization of internal affairs by their respective function, and these efforts, combined with the activities of the diplomatic branch would induce the whole situation to opening the Far Eastern Peace Conference. This was my intention and I did my best for its realization.

"As I devoted my whole attention after conclusion of the Tangku Truce Agreement to this matter, I had not much interest in other problems and even when I had some objection or different opinion on some matters, I usually let them go at that.

"On the first of January, 1934, while the plan was in the midst of deliberation, I fell seriously ill and was confined to bed. However, as I most

2 3

5

4

7 8

9

10

12

13

14

16

18

19

20

22

23

eagerly wished to execute this plan at any cost, I recommended General HAYASHI as my successor, and I resigned from the post of War Minister.

"By that time, the essential portion of my plan was still being discussed, part by part, by the respective Cabinet Ministers but it was not yet ready to be presented to the Cabinet meeting. Such having been the case, when I was resigning from my post, I sent to the Premier this suggestion of my plan, together with a letter, expressing all my views and beliefs, and asked him to expedite the opening of the Cabinet Meeting for this plan.

"Unfortunately, not only the general situation failed to develop as I had expected but the internal conditions of the army authorities changed radically. Dissatisfied with the state of affairs I decided to avoid being materially involved in any of the official matters. My successor, General HAYASHI, after four months' tenure of office, had to resign for personal reasons and recommended me as his successor, but because of this dissatisfaction, I persistently declined its acceptance.

"In the meantime, things completely turned to the worse, and after two years from the time of my resignation, the 2.26 Incident forced myself and other

senior members of the army to leave active service altogether.

"For two years from my resignation from the War Ministership to retirement from active service, I occupied the post of War Councillor, but not a single enquiry of important nature was made to me, and so there is nothing to state about this period.

"Further, this was the period when the internal condition of the army was in absolute chaos, and as I was always placed outside its central circles, I did not know the helm of military affairs at that time. All of these conditions will be shown by documentary evidence and witnesses.

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

19

20

22

23

24

"13. International Treaty Problems.

"Diplomatic affairs were not under the charge of the War Minister. As the War Minister, I only attended to what matters were under my charge and disposed of them in accordance with the policy determined by the government and so'I did not know much of the diplomatic matters.

"Decision of a diplomatic affair was usually done upon investigation and opinion of the Foreign Minister. When it had an important bearing upon other departments of the government, the Minister of such Department was consulted, and when it was sufficiently important as to be related to all Departments in a general way, it was usually discussed at the Cabinet meeting. Otherwise, most of them were disposed of by the decision of the Foreign Minister.

"With regard to international treaties in connection with the Manchurian Incident, the WAKATSUKI Cabinet had already invoked the right of self-defense, and the Premier and Foreign Minister of the INUKAI Cabinet also several times declared the continuation of this right of self-defense.

"I was told that our action was within the limit of action for self-defense, about which every signatory of the Non-Aggression Pact had reserved the

...

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

right of execution, and a prominent scholar of international 'aw defined, 'The act of self-defense is conducted until pressure by violence and menace is removed. Moreover, there was a reservation made b; Japan on December 10, 1931, at the Council Meeting of the League of Nations, which was approved by the Council, of the right of subjugating bandit troops and lawless elements. The army acted within the extent of this right based on the policy determined by the government.

"As for the problems concerning independence of Manchoukuo and its subsequent recognition, Premiers and Foreign Ministers of the INUKAI and SAITO Cabinets gave explanations at the Imperial Diet and the Privy Council. They said that the independence was a natural result of an internal split of a nation conducted by her own people and that the Nine Power Treaty had not provided any restriction on such action. They further said that the independence was realized upon the basis of the historical background in Manchuria. They quoted several opinions of some of the scholars of international law and said that were several instances in which the presences of foreign troops contributed toward the realization of independence.

"These explanations convinced all other

24

members of the cabinet and the army continued its action in conformity with this fixed policy until execution of the right of self-defense was no more required. As far as I remember, all of these details were contained in the Views of Japanese Government which were submitted to the plenary session of the League of Nations.

"The relation with the League of Nations had alreedy been considerably aggravated before the INUKAI Cabinet, perhaps due to lack of proper explanation of the situation. It was immediately prior to the formation of the INUKAI Cabinet that the League of Nations decided to dispatch its Enquiry Mission. This decision was quite satisfactory to us and the INUKAI Cabinet hoped that the Mission would arrive at an accurate recognition of the situation based on the actual state of affairs. The army also expected that the Enquiry Mission would make an unbiased conclusion toward peace by having contact with the actual conditions on the spot in the light of the historical background.

"As I have stated above, the policy of the INUKAI Cabinet toward Manchuria was immediate restoration and subsequent maintenance of peace and order, and it aimed at improvement of international relations

by giving cooperation and correct understanding to the problems among nations.

"The army, also in conformity with this policy, minimized its military action and devoted its efforts in immediately bringing about the termination of the hostilities. The manner in which the army settled the Shanghai Incident was a good indication of this policy of the army and its over-all withdrawal from Shanghai served to improve, more or less, Japan's international relations. Encouraged with this fact, the army continued to concentrate its effort on this point.

The independence of Manchoukuo and its formal recognition was studied, as was stated above, by both the INUKAI and SAITO Cabinets. By keeping a watch on the result of this study and on the prerequisite for peace and order in Manchuria, both cabinets followed the natural growth of this movement and when convinced of its healthy progress, decided to give it formal recognition. The army, in conformity with this policy of the government, strived to prevent actions disturbing the peace and order, which had barely been restored after several uprisings, and to discharge its new task of joint defense of the state of Manchoukuo.

1 2

"With regard to the League of Nations, I knew that the government had tried to obtain its understanding by tendering them written views of the government on the complexity of the character of the Incident and its suggestions for the means of maintaining peace in the future. When Mr. MATSUOKA was dispatched by the government as its plenipotentiary, the government had decided its policy of staying with the League at all costs in order to seek a proper understanding, and I think Mr. MATSUOKA was instructed accordingly.

28,170

'While I was in office, foreign affairs did not come directly under my jurisdiction, and accordingly, I could not bring into practice my views on those matters. All I could do was to discharge the duty of the army in accordance with the fixed policy of the government. But in order to clarify the grounds on which my actions toward the international problems were based,

I feel it necessary to state herewith my fundamental

"14. My views and thoughts on foreign affairs.

22 24

23

2

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ideology on international problems and the subsequent steps that I undertook at that time.

"(a) Relation with the Soviet Union.

"I had been in Russia for many years and was one of those who had a fond feeling toward and a good understanding of that country. My article in the monthly magazine 'Russia! correctly conveyed my true sentiment. Nevertheless, I could not advocate the world Bolshevization policy of the Third Internationale. My opposition to this principle and measures against it was more intensified when in 1923 and 1932 members of the Communist party plotted an assault on the person of His Majesty the Emperor.

"In fact, from the end of the TAISHO Era (about 1923) to the earlier period of the SHOWA Era (about 1931), Japan was thoroughly subjected to clandestine activities of the Communist party manifested in the form of a labor conflict and other political struggles of sinister nature, and the existence of the country was endangered as it never had been before. A publication of Mr. Bezedovsky, the Soviet ambassador in Japan in 1926 and 1927, explaining the details of such activities, gave a deep warning to the world. I took it as the weakness in the ideological status of Japan, and did not take it so seriously as to consider

that it strained the relation between the Seviet and Japan.

"I may say that I am not inferior to the Communist party in the passion to relieve the poor class of people, which, I understand, is one of the tenets of that party. However, my belief is that if the administration under the Emperor based on the original doctrine of this country is realized, not only the poorer class of people, but the whole people in general can enjoy better welfare without being forced to anything. This was clearly manifested in the message of one of the Emperors who said, 'Should there be a single person among the whole population who is not given his proper place, we are to blame for that. I believed that neither violence nor crafty measures was required in providing welfare to the people. My opinion was if the Soviet Union believed in communism, that was their affair and we had no reason to interfere with it. Every country is entitled to follow its own policy in accordance with the internal condition of that country. This having been my conviction, my opposition to the Third Internationale did not go as far as to advocate interference with the Soviet Union.

"I felt at that time the necessity of taking self-defensive measures against the menace of castward

1 2

3

6

7

8

10

11

13

15

17

18

19

21

23

24

3

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

infiltration of the Soviet influence and of the very active policy of the Third Internationale of Bolshevizing the whole world, but never had I felt the necessity of preparation of any positive military action against the Soviet Union, to say nothing of taking such action.

"To cope with this menace of the Soviet Union. there may have been several researches and suggestions among the people whose duty was to deal with such matters, and I believe those in charge of the matters would have devised measures within the extent of their duty, but I have reason to believe that such measures should not have exceeded the extent of research. research alleged by the prosecution to have been made by KAWABE and KASAHARA would have been one of their opinions as members of the General Staff, but whatever it may have been, it had no relation with me. As far as I knew, no positive plan of the responsible authorities against the Soviet Union existed. On the contrary, the fact was that the army had once placed much expectation in the change of policy of the Soviet Union.

"To the conclusion of that Non-Aggression
Pact, I was not necessarily opposed in principle, but
I seriously and carefully listened to public opinion

 that before signing such pact as the Non-Aggression Pact, all the pending problems between the two countries ought to be settled upon the basis of sincerity by both parties, as otherwise, the pact was destined to fail and was apt to leave cause for future trouble.

"I do not admit the conclusions and the allegation tendered in evidence by the prosecution against myself. When I pointed out the obscurity of the borders of Outer Mongolia, it was not from an aggressive intention toward Soviet as it was a defensive precaution. This can be easily ascertained from the other parts of the sentence around that expression.

"I sincerely hope that good Russians will peacefully display their rich natural gift."

THE PRESIDENT: This is a convenient break.
We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRES DENT: Mr. Mclinus.

MR. McM'.NUS (Reading continued):

"(b) Lelation between (hina and Japan.

"With regard to the relation between China and Japan, we have been told since we were children how eagerly our seniors of high ideal's and experiences endeavored, since the Meiji Era, to secure a firm and healthy independence for China our good and friendly neighbour, and thereby to bring happiness to the people of our friendly nation. Such expression as Jobun Doshu (same character and same race) and Shinshi Hosha (relation between lips and teeth, and wheels and axis) were often used to denote how the relation between China and Japan should be. This was how my original conception of China was formed, and I believe it was the same with all the people who had some interest in China. My article in the magazine Bungei Shunju entitled 'To President Chiang Kai-shek and appeal to my brethren' expresses my view and conception in this matter.

"I advocated that the cooperation of China and Japan should be based upon the promotion of Oriental culture which is further based on the union of eastern and western culture. I expressed this opinion of mine

3

2

6

7

5

8

10

11

13

14

16

18

19

21

22

24

in 1925, when I had a chance of talking to some of the Chinese people in Shanghai who are now engaged in important tasks as leaders of that country. As for the means of bringing about perfect independence to China, I had the occasion of giving my personal suggestion to President Chiang Kai-shek in the spring of 1932, through the staff of the Chinese Legation in Japan.

"China is destined to be our friendly neighbor.

I most sincerely desire perfect independence for this country, but never had I dreamed of her division. This is the reason why I always quote the Outer Mongolian and Sinking problems for comparison.

"I viewed the Manchurian Incident as a kind of explosion of a situation which had been brought to the bursting point by variegated historical background and the complicated state of affairs of Manchuria at that time, and the explosion resulted in the independence declaration by the people of Manchuria. In other words, it was the natural result, caused by the influence of the mass of the people, which could not have been stopped merely by the strength of a limited number of people, without first correcting its cause. If China wanted to get rid of this sinister incident, she should have devised adequate measures immediately after World War I and for a person like myself who was charged to deal with this Incident from its half way mark, the first necessity

was to put an end to the hostilities. I considered that if Manchuria should turn out to be an ideal happy land, whether politically independent or not, and gained the approval of the world, its relation with China and peace in the Far East for that matter could be somehow readjusted in the future and I dealt with this matter along this train of thought. What I really had in mind was to welcome the creation of an ideal happy land on a part of Chinese soil, in anticipation of rehabilitation of ts mother land.

"When I saw the Manchurian people who had been under the hard rigors of living, I could not help praying for realization of an ideal happy land of Kodo (King's Way) as had often been talked of by the leaders of Manchuria. It was not myself alone, but all the people of broader views who had the same opinion as above. The main thing was to bring peace immediately between China and Japan and let the world recognize the fact. Readjustment of relations between China and Manchoukuo could be thereafter easily accomplished.

"With this view in mind, I considered as
War Minister that what was required most urgently was
to terminate hostilities. This was the reason why I
evacuated all the Japanese troops from Shanghai, and
advocated after the Tangku Truce Agreement, the opening
of a Far Eastern Peace Conference. This will be proven

2 3

by witnesses and documents.

"The Marco Polo Incident occurred four years after the Tangku Truce Agreement. It would hardly be necessary to say that this Incident had no relation to the Manchurian Incident. I acceded to the request of Premier KONOYE, accepted the post of Cabinet Councillor and Education Minister in his cabinets. The object of the Premier was to let me find means to terminate the China Incident. I did my best to comply with the request of the Premier, but my power was not strong enough to bear any fruit along this line.

"On the occasion of the Nanking campaign, I opposed the act of occupying the enemy capital. I thought it was detrimental to the feelings of the people of both countries in the future. This was why I deplored the occupation of that city.

"It was then I thought of the poem of seven steps of Tsao Tzu-kian.

"It is my belief that if the leaders of China and Japan and the leading countries of the world had a little deeper appreciation of the relations between China and Japan, the Marco Polo Incident would not have had such repercussions as it did.

"It was from these same views that before the occupation of Canton and Hankow, I made my suggestions

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

and opposed the military action against those cities.

However, at that time I was not a member of the army authorities, nor was I keeping contact with the actual state of affairs and so my hopes were not fulfilled.

I have never dreamed of aggression against China and never acted accordingly. In fact, I placed my utmost importance in the cultural and spiritual unity between China and Japan.

"(c) Relations with the U.S.A. and Britain.

"I am not a so-called pro-Anglo-Saxon, nor am I, of course, an anti-Anglo-Saxon. I am a Japanese. I can not bear the sight of Japan being held in contempt by others or being reduced to destruction. Moreover, I am of the opinion of obeying His Majesty the Emperor and bringing about peace and welfare upon the basis of the original doctrine of Japan. I believed so and I have practiced so. This was not an opinion formed from socalled divine-inspirationism or from dogmatic ultranationalism. On the contrary, I trust it is a most humane principle agreeable to the world's omnipresent natural law. With this principle in mind, I did not try to cater to the current trend of making omnipotent Germany and Italy. Because I did not do so, I was sometimes blamed and abused as a pro-Anglo-Saxon. It has not been my practice to flatter or fawn upon others. I was sometimes

24

unscrupious in commenting upon what seemed to me wrong, and warned about the same, but I was never reluctant in praising what I believed to be right. Above all, I cannot help feeling grateful throughout my life to the obligation under which I was once placed. On such an occasion, I always expressed my gratitude whatever the reason for the obligation may have been. It was in this sense that I opposed the suggestion that the monument of Commodore Perry in Kuriham should be removed. I expressed similar types of opinions on several occasions. It was one thing I could not understand why, because of my above belief and attitude, I should have been called during the war by the opportunists and by those who were obsequious to the current trend to be unpatriotic pro-Anglo-Saxon.

"It was my consistent ideal that war should not be waged to satisfy meaningless desires. Except for some special occasions, war is apt to leave behind it certain aftermaths of personal feeling. Japan had never fought against the U.S.A. or Britain. On the contrary, it was my belief that Japan had been under the obligation of those two countries for the past ten years in connection with the crisis with which Japan had been confronted. Britain in particular was our ally for many years in the past, and to the U.S.A. while we had owed

friction of feeling.

her much financially, there was not the slightest

feeling between the two nations in connection with the racial problem and the Washington Conference. However, even on these matters, I think there was sufficient understanding among the people of learning and fame in the U.S.A. and Japan. Furthermore I did not take it any more than as a mere political problem, in which there was not the slightest factor of danger for the relations between the two countries.

U.S.A. and Japan after the Manchurian Incident had not always been a pleasant one. Of course, each party must have had its own reason to remain so, but the main thing hinged upon sentiment and misunderstanding, and I believed it was not so deeply rooted as would completely destroy the friendly relation which had existed between the two countries.

"During the time of the Manchurian Incident,
I was one of those who was worried over the general
situation of the world. I had had sincere faith in
Britain's refined diplomacy and the U.S.A.'s power of
enforcement, and upon those I placed much expectation
to save the world from the deteriorated situation facing

it.

-

"I expressed my opinion to many of the wellinformed people of learning and fame in both Britain
and America and wanted to adjust through those people
the application of the sanctions of the League of
Nations, and also, more importantly, to prevent the
explosion of the general unrest which was then prevailing all over the world. I believed I was doing much
good for the sake of world peace, and I repeatedly
warned them that unless steps were taken along the line
as I suggested, the situation in Europe was suggestive
of a world war.

"It was also from my fear of the above that I desired that those people improve the method of application of the sanctions of the League of Nations, based more upon the actual facts than anything else.

"It looked to me that the U.S.A. had maintained an indifferent attitude having stayed outside the realm of the League of Nations, and therefore, was in a position to make a calm and unbiased judgment of the world's state of affairs and Britain was also, in my opinion, in the same position, because of her rich experience in dealing with important international problems. The rest of the countries were, I thought, too busy in rehabilitating the damages of World War I

to do anything else.

"Japan had been recognized as having the power of maintaining the security of the Far East, and so I considered that much could be contributed to the world's peace if those three countries. Japan. U.S.A. and Britain, discussed the basic policy of peace in the world without prejudice and bias.

DIRECT

"Because of this conviction, I had deliberate discussions over the world's state of affairs since the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident with Sir Lindley and other successive British Ambassadors to Japan and Major-General Piggot, British Military Attache and others. I also appealed to the learned American people to call their attention to the situation in the East.

Mr. Releigh, lecturer of the Oxford University was another person with whom I had hearty discussion. I also appealed to a group of foreigners in my speech at Karuizawa in the summer of 1934.

"In these speeches and discussions, I frankly indicated the points on which I thought there should be self-reflection and reconsideration on the part of the U.S.A. and Britain. It had not been my practice to flatter, nor was it my habit to commit myself to anything unreasonable, to say nothing of the abuse of armed force.

"It had been my belief that world peace could only exist if people based their conduct upon warm-heartedness and justice of natural law, restricted their selfish desires and conceded to others to the utmost to such an extent as would not impair their self-existence.

"At the time when the question of importation of Siamese rice was much discussed in Japan, I insisted that we should endure some economical disadvantages to accede to the request of Siam so that we could express our appreciation of the warm friendship that Siam had shown us for many years in the past. I explained this to the people of rural districts in order to gain their understanding of the question.

3

6

5

7 8

9

10 11

12

14

16

17

19

18

21

20

22

24

When we had an occasion of negotiating with Lancashire in connection with our exportation of cotton piece goods, I insisted that we should make the best possible concession in the negotiation and should not effect any undue pressure upon Lancashire, and that all international problems should be settled from a broad point of view. This conduct of mine was always based upon the belief as I have stated above.

"It was my opinion that in facing the activities of the League of Nations or fulfilling the provisions of international treaties, Japan should always stand on this belief, that by no means should she lose sight of her ultimate object in selecting the means. I advocated from the same belief that those who had power should be right and those who were right should have power. The idea of power to power principle was one thing I detested most.

"I believed that all of these assertions could be fully understood by Britain and America, and heartily desired that by understanding them, they would contribute to the peace of the world. I feel ashamed in being even alluded to as a person advocating world domination or aggression and expansion.

"My Ideas

"In 1895, I was much disturbed with an

13

4

6

7

9

11

12

14

16

17 18

19

21

20

22

24 25 incident known as 'Three Power Interferences' which resulted in Japan's retrocession of Liaotung, and it caused me to join the army to defend the motherland.

"I participated in the Russo-Japanese War when I was a Lieutenant. In World War I, I was with the Russian Army at the eastern front of Europe and had ample opportunities of witnessing the true nature of war among the civilized countries.

"This experience affected greatly the views of war which I had had by that time, and became the second epochal period in the history of my ideology. The essence of my new ideology was that war, if inevitable, should be the war of human beings not the struggle among beasts. I came to have new ideas as to weapons, style of fighting and equipment for national defense, and with a view to lessen the war damage have made an appeal based on this idea to the people both in and out of Japan for the past twenty years.

"Parallel with the above advocacy, I speculated over the basis of peace, namely, the means with which to avoid the occurrence of war. I came to the conclusion that the existing international treaties alone were not only virtually insufficient to avoid war, but they sometimes caused war, and I intended to improve this shortcoming.

"There were numerous causes of war, but eco omic pressure, menace on self-existence, denial of the special characteristics of a nation and racial prejudice constituted their principal part and so far as they were not completely eliminated, war was unavoidable. The method of maintaining peace by way of keeping a certain balance or armament among the nations was nothing but a camouflaged peace, which could be easily broken by a miscalculation on the part of a nation over the balance of her armement and that of other nations. Once the peace was broken, the scale of equipment and installation for war and the selected arms of precision would give cause to boundless calamity. I, therefore, considered it the duty of a civilized nation to go a step forward to study the fundamer 'al cause of war, and take every possible opportunity to propagate this idea to the people of the world.

After World War I, a disruption took place in the world of thought dividing the whole world into three ideological circles, and each circle contested one another beyond the barrier of economy and living.

"I perceived a danger of inducement to war in this conflict of thought. I drew attention of the people to the necessity of securing a fundamental

1

3

11

10

12 13

14

16 17

18

19

21

22

23 24

ideal for establishment of peace, and in order to achieve this purpose, I advocated the amalgamation of eastern and western culture, enhancement of the spirit of mutual concession, and, in order to heighten the same of sympathy I advocated Kodo (Imperial Way.)

"Thus, I endeavoured to harmonize the interests of the world to avoid the potential calamity, but unfortunately most of the countries of the world were indifferent to this advocacy because of their lack of recognition of this fundamental ideal and each rushed forward to expand its influence into the world according to its long entertained or newly harboured ideal.

ments occurred with the support of armed force, one was the World Bolshevizing Movement and the other was Nazism as a totalitarian nation. Japan's attention was drawn to this and the necessity of self-defense impressed her. I personally considered that the most effective self-defense could be carried out by promoting the sense of morality and justice.

"Primarily, my views of peace or views of life do not admit of territorial expansion. Amalgamation of a nation which had its own race and history was one thing I definitely rejected. I said it was one thing to protect the land of their ancestors and it was quite

7 8

another to expand it. I considered that a land other than its own could be developed as a source of raw materials under the principle of mutual help. That was why I objected to the amalgamation of Korea and although I was abroad when it took place, I sent a letter to my senior, expressing my views, and insisted that Japan should cooperate with Korea by respecting its civilization.

"Such having been my views, I can clearly declare that never in my life have I entertained an idea of aggression, to say nothing of world domination.

"If I may be allowed to express my views, such ambition as territorial expansion is nothing but an infantile glory which is far from permanent welfare.

post of War Minister when Manchuria was in a turmoil of disturbances. My whole-hearted attention was devoted to nothing but terminating the hostilities. As I was so deeply impressed solely with the miserable conditions in which the Manchurian people lived I took a sympathetic view in the establishment of a happy land of King's Way (Kodo.) Further, I was favorably impressed when, after the foundation of Manchoukuo, by the zealous aspiration of the Manchurian people, the leaders of that new country made Confucianism, a

doctrine originated in China, their principle for creation of an ideal country. The complicated international problems of Manchuria had not slipped out of my mind, but since the independence had been declared, I wished from this sense of morality, the realization of an ideal nation.

"However, as time passed, it did not develop in the way that I had hoped and several petitions and bitter criticisms of the Manchurian people reached my ears. Worried over this situation as I have stated in the above, I declined to go to Manchuria to celebrate her tenth anniversary of independence and did not attend the celebration held in Japan.

nation and peace which I had had since my participation in World War I, were definitely opposed to imperialism, exclusive egoism and the coercion control principle.

My conduct was always coherent to this principle. I feared that the manner in which the powers, after World War I, dealt with Germany were portent of future trouble. Pressures which all the countries that won victory over Germany, (including Japan) imposed upon this de cated country as well as the attitude of the newly awakened Germany toward the world were quite precarious from the standpoint of world peace, and

because of my aforementioned views, I expressed my desires, whenever I could, for the alleviation of such conditions.

"Historically, the strained situation of
Japan in the past has been continuous, but as far as
I was concerned, I had my own ideal as above stated
and because of this, I disassociated myself from the
movements before and after my tenure of War Minister.
I went my own way in accordance with my ideal, and did
not take any action in concert with the people who had
different views. On the other hand, I endeavoured to
propagate my idea of international morality and beliefs
throughout the world.

"15. Armament and my policy in directing the Army.

"The equipment and strength of our army was so poor that it was not even as good as that of Poland. In 1921 the army authorities desired to raise the standard of armament of the entire Japanese Army to only half of that of the other powers at the time of World War I. For this purpose, a bill of approximately 460 million yen for running expenses for ten years was presented to the Diet and was duly approved. However, the payment of the whole amount was successively postponed and in 1931, which was a year before the

Ω

still left unused. That is to say, not even one quarter of the original plan was accomplished.

"I had my own view toward the establishment

Manchurian Incident, the sume of 360 million yen was

of the army and war, which I had harbored since World War I. I believed in the necessity of modern equipment for an independent nation, but I had never dreamed of completing armament for the sake of waging war. I regarded the army as a symbol of morality and placed more importance on its spiritual element. I felt the necessity of a completion of armament, but I never considered its completion in connection with preparation for aggression. On the contrary, my opinion was just the reverse. However, I could not bring this ideal of mine into practice, having been disrupted by the necessity of settling the Manchurian and Shanghai Incident.

"It was also from this ideal of mine that in 1932 and 1933, I conceded the sume of 15 million yen and 10 million yen respectively from the Army budget to the Navy and cooperated with the Finance Office and Navy Office. I thought it would be detrimental to the credit of Japan if the army and navy disagreed with each other for a matter of a small amount in the budget and if, because of this, the Navy Minister should resign

from his post. As far as the army was concerned, serving the country under the complete harmony of the army and navy was its first moral duty and accomplishment of this mission was considered by it much more important than a monetary question of ten or fifteen million yen.

"The army budgets in 1932 and 1933, excluding the budget for the Manchurian Incident, was about one hundred and seventy million yen each and there are virtually no increases in comparison with those of preceding years. On the contrary, 1933 showed some decreases. New installations and equipment which had to be provided to cope with the incident were paid by appropriating the budget of the following year. Under such circumstances, any positive preparation of war was impossible.

"The army budget for the Manchurian Incident while I was War Minister was one hundred and forty or fifty million yen each for the two successive years. After the recognition of Manchoukuo, the army was charged with the added task of Manchurian national defense by the provisions of the Japan-Manchoukuo Protocol. Also, there was some reinforcement of rail-way guards in compliance with the expansion of the railways to be guarded, but this did not involve any

.

joint defense of Manchoukuo, the military installations in Manchoukuo were limited to those of a defensive nature, and nothing was undertaken by way of offensive action.

material increase of expense. In attending to the

"Establishment of railway lines, unification of communication systems and exploitation of natural resources were the reasonable necessities for a new state on her way to development; also from the necessity of maintaining law and order. It was nothing more than an ordinary step that a newlyborn nation should take, and Manchukuo merely did it. It is definitely wrong and groundless to accuse that Japan made Manchuria a militaristic base for aggressive war. To further support this, the military installations in Manchukuo were limited to those of defensive nature.

"As I have stated before, my view of war and view of national defense, which I had harbored since World War I, was fundamentally different from those

"As I have stated before, my view of war and view of national defense, which I had harbored since World War I, was fundamentally different from those of other people. Therefore, armaments of powers did not attract my attention and I did not approve any imperialistic policy or immoral equipment, whether militaristic, ideological or economic. The armament was consequently carried out in view of this consideration.

"In the administration of military affairs, I abolished discrimination in treatment between the rich and the poor. I also denounced the system of paying money to become a cadet and equalized the opportunity in military service. Because of this change, some of the college students who wanted to become cadets may

3 4 5

4 5

have sought some preparatory military knowledge out of their desire for enlightenment. I contend that this cannot be called militarization of education.

"I also established a policy which I believe to be the basic conditions for the purification of the army, and intended for the improvement in the treatment of war wounded.

"Since this was my principle, even in armament,
I can definitely say that there was not the slightest
truth in the testimony of witness OUCHI that during my
tenure of office as War Minister, I militarized school
education.

"The guiding principle in my direction of the army was first to make an army based upon morality, the principle upon which the army was founded, and second to let it display its characteristics as an army which observed the Imperial Way. In order to realize this, refer to my purging in the aftermath of several disastrous incidents in the past. This was the reason why I conducted shifts of several of the military personnel. I did my best in quieting the young officers who had been dissatisfied with the situation and in the May 15 Incident there was not one participation in it by any army officer, and I am proud to say that throughout my tenure of office as War Minister there was not a single

case of any such unlawful action.

"I further endeavored to eliminate the Prussian type from the army. I insisted that the troops should further the virtue of the army to such an extent that they would not cause grudge from an opponent, and to win the favor and respect of inhabitants wherever they might be stationed. Our army in those days was apt to be ideologically confused with the Prussian army and such a conception needed correction. I, first of all, wanted some of the members of the cabinet to understand this spirit and with it to rectify the common misconception that armement was for the sake of waging war. I determined that this must be thoroughly understood by the whole public and whenever possible expressed my views in speeches and other writings.

"With regard to the strained situation of Japan, I requested the earnest reflection of the people over the current problems and urged them to place their first importance in the promotion of morality.

"The real meaning of my speech entitled 'Emergency Japan', which was offered in evidence by the prosecution, can be proved by many other speeches bearing 'emergency' in their titles. If the whole of this speech is read without prejudice, I believe the real intention of mine will be understood. The process of

4 5

manufacture of this film and the impression that this film gave to the spectators will also be a good indication of the intention with which I made this speech.

"All of these speeches were made with a view to introducing my moral views which I gained through my experience in World War I.

"What underlies the Imperial Rescript granted by the late Emperor MEIJI to the army and navy personnel is the sense of sincerity. The present Emperor showed in his Imperial Rescript granted when he ascended the Throne that the true principle of the foundation of Japan was based upon the spirit of benevolence. I advoca ed that the army should observe the principle of these Imperial Rescripts and should exert their best to discharge its duty. My intention was to enhance, by my advocacy, the virtue of benevolence of the Emperor among the people, and this was, I thought, the first step to let the troops completely become aware of the true spirit of the Imperial army. By their efforts, I believe I succeeded, even in the slightest degree, in removing imperialistic thought from the army, and also from the people, and prevented them from egotistical conduct.

"16. Military discipline during the Manchurian Incident.

3

1

5

4

7 8

9

11

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

22

24

23

4

3

6

5

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

"The Manchurian Incident arose from what had been vitally important to Japan. As the ultimate object of this incident was to bring peace and welfare to both Japanese and Chinese nationals, the officers and soldiers of the Japanese Army at the front, as well as the general public in Japan were sympathetic toward the Manchurians and other people on the spot. The hostilities were not of the nature of a declared war, and so all the captives were immediately released and were given assistance to engage in peaceful work. Those who were in distress were given relief funds. These facts were made clear by the report of those who inspected conditions there. There was not a single case of massacre or violence, nor was there any report made to that effect. Lord Lytton's Report admitted this fact.

"The incident which was reported by the Chicago Tribune as having occurred near SENKINSAI was nothing but a minor skirmish between a small squad and a body of local bandits. This was exaggerated and made the subject of propaganda, as was the usual practice with the Chinese people, and the propaganda was reported by Mr. Powell and was inserted in the paper as it was. This is clear by the testimony of Mr. Powell himself, and the same may be supported to some extent by

ARAKI

the protest of the Japanese consul. The skirmish was too small to be reported to me, so I did not know of it.

"17. The circumstances under which I became Cabinet Councillor in the First KONOYE Cabinet.

"Since my withdrawal from active service in March 1936, I had not been quite satisfied with the state of affairs and was leading a life of a retired man. During that time there was an occasion when, at the outbreak of the China Incident in July 1937, I was extremely worried about the situation and suggested to Prince KONOYE my view on means of bringing about peace.

"It happened in September 1937 that Prince
KONOYE, who was the Prime Minister at that time, sent for
me. When I saw him, he was very much worried about the
China Incident and asked me if I had any idea as to the
means of saving the situation. It was the time when the
Second Shanghai Incident had broken out and the situation was really serious.

"I told him that if a frontal clash between China and Japan should take place, it would not only be against the policy of our country, but would also cause great difficulty for Japan from the operational view-point. I suggested that he should ask Lt. Gen. OBATA's opinion about those matters, but he told me that he had had Lt. OBATA's opinion already, and that from his

7 8

. .

opinion he was more convinced of the gravity of the situation. He said that it was the reason why he came to me and wanted my unreserved opinion for saving the situation.

"I told him that since the state of affairs had developed to such an entent as those existing, it would require a person with strong influence among military circles, not a retired soldier like myself, to settle the affair. I added that, in any case, Prince KONOYE would require a great deal of resolution to cope with the situation.

"In the meantime, Premier KONOYE set up an organization of Cabinet Councillors and about ten people including myself were appointed the Cabinet Councillors. The object of this organization was to seek suggestions to check the expansion of the incident and to settle it.

"18. The functions of the Cabinet Councillors and my suggestions to the Premier.

"The Cabinet Council was not organized into a regular system of a council. Its members were to make their individual suggestions to Premier KONOYE and the Council had no recognized right of resolution. The Councillors were to meet regularly once or twice a week. However, it was to hear the latest information from the

governr nt or to exchange each other's views, and not to discuss any fixed agenda.

"As the KONOYE Cabinet did not place much importance in this system, the Cabinet Council was gradually reduced to a nominal existence and a Councillor was an honorary post for which no pay or other form of treatment was given. At the time when this system was established, the China Incident had considerably expanded and the troops had reached somewhere near Nanking.

"I submitted my opinion to the Premier, saying that to attack and subsequently occupy the capital of China would only make the whole situation more serious than ever, and would create a considerable hindrance to the readjustment of China-Japan relations in the future. I suggested that Peace should be sought before this campaign took place.

"All the Councillors were of more or less the same opinion, and the government authorities seemed to have done their best in endeavoring to save the situation. However, what was lacking with the government authorities was a resolute determination to push through their policy, whereas the military action which was under the direction of the Supreme Command gained more influence every day and resulted in the further aggravation of the situation.

"I think it was around this time that a peace negotiation was made through the good offices of Mr. Trautman, German Ambassador in China. All of the Councillors were looking forward to the success of this negotiation, but the repeated efforts on the part of the Japanese government were frustrated. The Councillors did not participate in the deliberation of this peace negotiation and accordingly were not informed of its details. However, they eagerly expected the government, in an abstract manner, to accomplish this negotiation, until it proved in vain on the 16th of January.

"Thus, the Councillors, whose duty from its inception had been nullified, became a mere nominal sinecure. The Councillors are charged with atrocities in Nanking, but they had neither the authority nor duty of controlling such an incident.

"19. The circumstances in which I became Education Minister and the state of affairs during my tenure of office.

"Prince KONOYE, from his sincere apprehension over the situation, and desiring to devise counter means against it, determined at the end of May to reshuffle his Cabinet, and selected as ministers the following members from the Cabinet Councillors: General UGAKI

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

was appointed Minister of Foreign Affairs; Mr. IKEDA,
Minister of Finance and conclurrently Minister of Commerce and Industry; and myself Minister of Education.
He then appointed Lt. Genl. ITAGAKI as War Minister.
I had some expectation for this renovated Cabinet,
but Premier KONOYE, after the renovation, made it his
practice to confer on current problems only with the
Five Minister Conference comprised of Ministers of War,
Navy, Foreign and Finance, and other Ministers were not
invited to the discussions.

"At that time military operations were in progress, and as they were under control of the Supreme Command, the Cabinet had no authority to check them. Thus, while the Premier was indecisive, military action gradually developed and reached as far as Wuchang, Hankow and Canton. During this time, the members of the Cabinet other than those of the Five Minister Council were not immediately informed of the latest state of affairs.

"Under the circumstances, my position was merely the chief of the education administration, which was entirely outside the realm of current affairs, and all I did was to promote education and its administration.

"20. My work as Minister of Education.
"The Ministry of Education, as one of its

,

fixed duties, shared with the Ministry of Home Affairs the task of keeping surveillance over the General Spiritual Mobilization Organization. Consequently, the Minister of Education had to write in magazines and make broadcasts in connection with educational matters, as part of his routine duties. The drafts of articles or broadcasts usually prepared by the staff of ministry in charge of such matters, and the Minister only made them public. The work was executed along the customary line. I do not think there was anything which was planned with specific intention or departed from the

"Excerpts of some of my books were tendered to the Tribunal as prosecution evidence. To this I contend that if the whole book had been read, instead of excerpts, what I was siming to explain would have been understood.

"During the earlier period of my tenure of office as Education Minister, there were some in the Ministry who were inclined toward flattery or fanatically pleaded the true principle of the fundamental policy of our country; there were some who were inclined to agree with the Nazi ideology; on the other hand, there were still some submerged elements in the schools who followed communism.

customary practice.

"This situation required competent persons who could regulate this confusion, and this was why I conducted personnel shifts among the Education Office Staff.

"I also appointed competent people who could cope with confidence with the request from the military authorities and other government branches so that the evil practice of being obsequious to such requests could be eliminated.

"At that time, the Education Council had already been established (in 1937). This was the highest institution for the renovation of the education system and it comprised as Councillors the most prominent persons of learning and experience, both in and out of office.

All the important problems of education were deliberated upon at this Council and the government policies on education were decided upon by its resolutions.

"The inception of the advocacy for compulsory education at the Youths School dates back to the beginning of the TAISHO Era when it was then only a Supplementary School.

"In 1937, the Educational Administration Council made a report, in answer to the inquiry from the government about the question of Youths School. In January 1938, at the time of my predecessor, compulsory

6

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

education was already decided upon at a Cabinet meeting. Then the question was again deliberated upon by the Education Council and according to its reply in July of the same year, it was arranged that an Imperial Ordinance be issued in April 1939 for its enforcement. This new system was to give equal opportunity of education to all people, and help to develop their natural gifts. It is entirely wrong to interpret this change as militarization of education.

"The education system in Japan since the MEIJI Era had been formed after the European and American lives. While the system enjoyed certain popularity among the public, it offered more opportunity to the well-to-do class of people, and those of talent and faculty from the poorer classes found difficulty in developing their natural gifts. I perceived that this was contrary to our original program to let everyone gain his proper place, when the Educational Council submitted its decision that education in the Youths School should be made compulsory. I took this opportunity to develop the education of the working class of youths, and to give to those who showed good result in the Youths School ample opportunity to develop their talent.

"The most serious apprehension of Japan at

24.

23

that time was the disturbance of ideological circles. There was a time when communism spread widely among the people, and once it became the tide of public opinion; then there was a time, later, when people followed Nazism or Fascism. This trend gave rise to complications between the liberalism which had also explicated at that time, and caused a terrible state of chaos.

"The several unfortunate incidents which arose from the current situation at that time were due to the disturbance of thought on the part of the people. On the other hand, the rise of the Nippon spirit tended to create a dogmatic nationalism, which from its lack of ubiquity, was apt to fall into extreme rightism and was pregnant of much danger. The cause of this defect was due to the fault of perfunctory education which had a tendency of making the people lose sight of ideological independence and lofty ideas. In order to reform this, I advocated that the imperial virtue of benevolence and tolerance, which had been the basic spirit from the time of the foundation of our country, should be borne in mind and cultivate in it an ubiquitous character which was welcome in all the modern civilized countries of the world. Imperial admonition was my guiding principle when attending to this work, inasmuch as the imperial admonition was teaching us the basic principle of humanity with His Majesty's generosity, and I considered that that was the code that the people should observe. This principle, which had been the basic spirit from the time of the foundation of the Empire, was entirely different from militarism; not only was it just the contrary to militarism, but it was the one essentially required for the correction of the defect

23

in ideological circles at that time.

"21. Military Training in Schools.

"The history of physical exercise in military style which was eventually turned into military training dates back many years ago, but I am not going into its detail now. I had heard of some conflict between the education institutions and the military authorities with regard to military training in the schools, but neither of the parties had my sympathy, inasmuch as the whole conflict seemed to be due to their narrow views.

"It was quite natural that school education placed its importance in cultivating the spirit of discipline and cooperation by training. But as the result of the training depended on the character of the person who was in charge of training, there were instances when the training was executed in excess of the above object. On the other hand, there were several cases where, on account of lack of proper understanding on the part of the school authorities, liberalism was regarded as synonymous to a sloven life and students were left in an irregular and slatternly existence. Another fearful tendency was communistic ideology, which, combined with some of the political plotters, caused deterioration of the student's spirit by abetting them into the habit of disdaining such virtues as discipline,

7

8 9

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

moderation, cooperation and diligence, all of which were indispensable to the promotion of the culture of a nation.

"During the earlier period of my Education Ministership, these fearful tendencies were gaining influence, much to my regret. There were frequent . occasions when students were arrested and detained by the police on the charge of disturbing public order. These types of students were given to slandering the training in the schools as being militarization of school education. There were some even among the teachers and professors who encouraged students toward such tendencies.

"I changed the system of training in the schools in such a way so as to observe moderation between the above two extremes and with it I tried to promote the lofty ideals of the students.

"The reformation of the system of the Youths School was an act of equalizing the right and duty of education. By the reformation there was no increase in hours allotted for training and there was not the slightest evidence to show that the education was militarized.

"Military training in the schools was a part of the school education, and from the viewpoint of

4

6

5

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

14

17 18

19

20

21

23

25

educational administration it should not have exceeded this category. This was my principle in coping with this training, and so any request from the army authorities which attempted to bring it out of this category met my refusal.

"There were occasions when in compliance with the request of students and school authorities, the use of modern weapons was introduced in training, but this decision was an autonomous disposition of the school authorities in view of the students' request, and was not done by the request of the Education Ministry.

"While I was Education Minister I placed importance on cultivating the moral element rather than promoting the skill of combat. This moral element served to reveal the Emperor's virtue of benevolence. That is to say, by training I taught students to cultivate their morals rather than to cultivate the habit of depending on armed force.

"23. National Spirit General Mobilization.

"The National Spirit General Mobilization system had been established at the time of the First KONOYE Cabinet and its object was to straighten the national spirit against the state of affairs after the outbreak of the China Incident. It had been established before I became the Education Minister.

3

5

7 8

9

10

12

13

15 16

17

18

20

21

22

24

25

"Its central executive organ was civilian, comprised of people of learning, fame and experience which had been placed under the charge of the Departments of Home Affairs and Education. Its main object was the improvement of the people's daily life and spiritual restraint.

"Later, there was a tendency for the policy of this central executive organ to become dogmatic. The HIRANUMA Cabinet, desiring to follow its policy properly, established a committee system with the chairman of the committee to be selected from among the cabinet ministers, to pursue a basic policy, and all the people of learning and experience both in and out of office were requested to deliberate so that the committee could submit its opinion to the government.

"In my capacity of Education Minister, I was recommended to the chairmanship of this committee, but most of the actual work was handled by the Intelligence Bureau of the Cabinet. The committee and staff included many civilians, especially women, and deliberated on renovation and curtailment of daily living.

"However, in spite of its primary objective, the discussion tended to delve into current problems, diverting from its original purpose of cultivating the

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fundamental character of the people in order to be worthy of association with the modern world.

"What was most urgently required under the circumstances was to ask the people to undertake a severe introspection into their daily mode of living, concentrate upon the cultivation of the spirit of endurance and improvement of their daily life, respect social morality and help them to bring about efficiency in their work.

"In order to realize the above, I established a day of self-introspection. I decided that the first day of every month be called the 'Serving Day' and requested the people to lead a life of restraint on this day. There was an opinion at that time that in view of the current situation, the 7th of every month, the day of the outbreak of the China Incident, would be a better day for 'Serving Day.' However, my opinion was that 'Serving Day' should be disconnected with the current state of affairs. My intention was to use this day for permanently promoting the basic standard of living of the people, and in order to get the people. to understand this principle, I made the first day of every month the day for self-introspection. Thus I tried to prevent everything from coming under the influence of the current situation.

"I wish to add that the National Spirit General Mobilization was entirely different in nature from National General Mobilization and Student Mobilization. The National Spirit General Mobilization was merely a part of the daily life renovation movement.

"24. International problems while I was the Education Minister.

"My duty as Education Minister was limited mainly to education administration, and the general international problems were outside the scope of my work. I have no recollection whether such matters as Premier KONOYE's declaration of the Establishment of the New Order or Foreign Minister ARITA's declaration was debated at the Cabinet Meeting.

"My non-association with the international problems was more conspicuous at the time of the HIRANUMA Cabinet. In fact, I knew nothing about those matters at that time, inasmuch as all the important problems were discussed and decided at the Five Minister Conference.

"Japan-German Cultural Agreement was first proposed to me by the Foreign Office and then Japan entered into this agreement. The agreement concerned culture only and had no political significance.

"Exchange of boys between Germany and Japan

was planned and executed before my acceptance of the Education Ministership. The first group returned home after my assumption of office. I did not see in this party anything more than an ordinary social visit of a tourist party. I wanted to send a similar party not to Germany alone, but to all other civilized countries, but could not realize it.

"The Khasan and Nomonhan Incidents were reported to the Cabinet Meeting as being mere skirmishes between the border garrisons of both countries. The Education Minister was not concerned in the disposal of such incidents. Only one thing was clear, that the government desired an amicable settlement and the army acted from the beginning to end in conformity with this policy. That was why those incidents did not become serious subjects of discussion at the Cabinet Meeting.

"I did not know anything about the Tripartite
Pact and the Wang-Chin-wei government. I did not even
know how they were discussed or made. As for the stationing of troops in French Indo-China and the problems
concerning the Lesser Southern Group of Islands and
Hainan Island, I had not the slightest idea of what was
going on.

"25. Cabinet Councillor at the ABE and YONAI Cabinets.

"It was quite a long time after the formation of the ABE Cabinet that I was asked to become a Councillor of that Cabinet. (I think it was in December 1939). I had known that a Cabinet Councillor was merely a nominal post and that I could not be of any material service to that Cabinet, so I first declined to accept it, but the Premier was so persistent that I had to accept it after all. The ABE Cabinet resigned en bloc after two months of my acceptance of this post, and so

"When the YONAI Cabinet was formed, I was asked to become its Home Minister. However, my view was as I have stated above, and furthermore, the state of affairs was so aggravated at that time that I considered it was impossible for any one, except those who held strong influence over the military authorities, to exercise an effective administration to cope with the situation, and so I refused to accept it.

I did not even have a chance of talking to the Premier.

"Then I was asked to become its Cabinet Councillor, but as my view was unchanged since the ABE Cabinet, again I declined to accept it. But when I was persistently asked by him to list my name among the Councillors only for nominal purpose, I could not very well refuse it and became a Cabinet Councillor.

"As my assumption of the post had taken place

under such circumstances, I remained its nominal member and actually did not do any work. I did not even know what was going on in the cabinet. It was, however, conceivable that both the ABE and YONAI Cabinets were opposed to the Tripartite Pact and that they were eager to put an end to the China Incident.

"26. My attitude toward the Second KONOYE Cabinet.

"It was in September 1940, about two months after the formation of the Second KONOYE Cabinet, that Mr. TOMITA, Chief Cabinet Secretary, unexpectedly visited me and asked me to become a Cabinet Councillor of the Second KONOYE Cabinet.

"I had been told that the establishment of the Grand Rule Assistance Association and the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact were going to be disclosed shortly. These were the two important domestic and foreign problems over which I had had serious apprehensions for some time in the past. Since these two problems were to be realized, it meant that my apprehension could not remain mere apprehension, but developed into something very serious. I decided that I could not assist the Cabinet which was to commit those mistakes. In fact, the things were so serious that I thought I had to make a very careful consideration about it. I con-

V

fided these considerations to Mr. TOMITA and told him that I could not accede to his request.

"On the evening of the same day Prince KONOYE visited me at my house and repeated his request. We had a hot discussion for more than five hours. I explained to him that the state of affairs at that time indicated very grave consequences and I did not accept his request.

"From March 1936 to October 15, 1937, from
September 1939 to November 1939, and after July 1940, I
was not in any official position and no public activity
was undertaken by me during those periods. The incidents such as the Anti-Comintern Pact, outbreak of the
China Incident, stationing of troops in French IndoChina, Tripartite Pact and the Pacific War occurred during those periods, and so I do not think it necessary
for me to state anything about those incidents.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half past nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Thursday, 11 September 1947, at 0930.)

24

23

10

11

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22